

Artificial Intelligence-Based Optimization Models for Modern Engineering Applications

Felipe Gonzalez

Professor, Queensland University of Technology, Australia

Abstract

Artificial intelligence (AI) has increasingly become an important computational paradigm for solving complex optimization problems encountered in modern engineering systems. Conventional optimization approaches often face limitations when engineering problems involve nonlinear relationships, multiple constraints, high-dimensional variables, uncertainty, dynamic operating conditions, and competing objectives. AI-based optimization models provide an alternative by integrating machine learning, deep learning, evolutionary computation, swarm intelligence, surrogate modeling, reinforcement learning, and hybrid computational strategies. These approaches can support engineering decision-making by learning relationships from data, identifying promising solution spaces, predicting system responses, and continuously improving optimization outcomes. This paper examines the role of AI-based optimization models in modern engineering applications, with particular attention to engineering design, manufacturing, energy systems, infrastructure, process optimization, and intelligent maintenance.

The study follows a structured review-based methodology to examine established AI optimization approaches, their application characteristics, performance considerations, and implementation challenges. The analysis indicates that hybrid AI optimization models can provide substantial advantages when conventional mathematical formulations are computationally expensive or unable to represent complex system behavior adequately. Surrogate-assisted optimization can reduce repeated simulation costs, while evolutionary and swarm-based methods can effectively address nonlinear and multi-objective engineering problems. Reinforcement learning additionally provides opportunities for adaptive optimization in dynamic environments. However, challenges related to data quality, computational requirements, model interpretability, generalization, constraint handling, validation, and engineering safety remain important. The paper therefore emphasizes the need for integrated physics-informed, explainable, robust, and domain-specific AI optimization frameworks. The findings suggest that future engineering optimization will increasingly depend on the integration of AI models with established engineering knowledge rather than replacing conventional engineering principles.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Engineering Optimization, Machine Learning, Deep Learning, Metaheuristic Optimization, Engineering Design, Surrogate Modeling, Intelligent Systems.

1. Introduction

Modern engineering systems are becoming increasingly complex because of growing performance requirements, interconnected components, uncertain operating conditions, and the need for efficient resource utilization. Engineering designers and decision-makers must frequently optimize several conflicting objectives, including cost, reliability, energy consumption, structural performance,

production efficiency, and environmental impact. Traditional optimization techniques remain valuable for well-defined mathematical problems, but their effectiveness can decrease when system behavior becomes nonlinear, discontinuous, dynamic, or computationally expensive. These circumstances have encouraged researchers to explore artificial intelligence (AI) as a complementary approach for engineering optimization.

Artificial intelligence provides computational mechanisms capable of identifying patterns, approximating complex functions, predicting system behavior, and supporting automated decision-making. Machine learning models can learn relationships between engineering variables and performance outcomes from historical or simulated data. Neural networks and deep learning models can represent highly nonlinear relationships, while evolutionary and swarm-intelligence algorithms can explore large solution spaces without requiring strict analytical formulations. Recent research has demonstrated the growing importance of optimization techniques and metaheuristic approaches for difficult engineering problems, particularly where conventional approaches encounter computational or structural limitations.

AI-based optimization is particularly relevant to engineering design. Engineering design frequently requires repeated evaluation of alternative configurations under multiple constraints. An AI model can act as a surrogate for computationally expensive simulations, enabling rapid estimation of system performance before candidate designs are evaluated through high-fidelity numerical models. This approach can reduce computational effort while allowing engineers to investigate larger design spaces. Research on AI applications in engineering design has highlighted the ability of AI-based approaches to handle ambiguous parameters and complex design relationships that may be difficult to address through traditional design procedures.

The application of AI optimization extends beyond design into manufacturing, energy systems, infrastructure, process control, and maintenance. In manufacturing, AI can optimize process parameters, production scheduling, material utilization, and quality-related decisions. In energy engineering, intelligent optimization can support load management, energy generation planning, storage operation, and system efficiency. Process industries can use machine learning and hybrid optimization techniques for real-time control and optimization. Recent research has specifically examined AI/ML approaches alongside conventional model-based optimization for closed-loop industrial control and autonomous operation.

Another important development is the combination of AI with metaheuristic optimization. Metaheuristic algorithms such as genetic algorithms, particle swarm optimization, ant colony optimization, differential evolution, and newer bio-inspired techniques provide flexible mechanisms for searching complex solution spaces. Their integration with machine learning can produce hybrid models capable of both learning system behavior and searching for improved solutions. Recent comprehensive reviews indicate that modern metaheuristic approaches continue to attract significant attention for engineering optimization because of their flexibility in handling complex objective functions and constraints.

Despite these developments, AI-based optimization cannot be considered universally superior to conventional optimization. Engineering applications impose strict requirements concerning reliability, safety, interpretability, computational stability, and physical feasibility. An AI model may achieve strong predictive accuracy while producing solutions that violate engineering constraints or behave unpredictably outside the training domain. Consequently, the effective use of AI optimization requires

careful integration of domain knowledge, mathematical constraints, simulation models, experimental validation, and performance monitoring.

This paper therefore examines AI-based optimization models for modern engineering applications from a multidisciplinary perspective. It focuses on the major optimization approaches, their application domains, performance characteristics, implementation requirements, and limitations. The study also identifies future research directions involving hybrid intelligence, physics-informed optimization, explainable AI, adaptive learning, and robust engineering decision-making.

2. Objectives

The primary objective of this study is to examine the development and application of artificial intelligence-based optimization models in modern engineering systems. The study focuses on understanding how AI techniques can improve engineering design, operational efficiency, resource utilization, predictive decision-making, and system performance while addressing nonlinear, complex, and multi-objective optimization problems.

2.1 Evaluation of AI-Based Optimization Approaches

The first objective is to examine major AI-based optimization approaches, including machine learning, deep learning, evolutionary algorithms, swarm intelligence, reinforcement learning, surrogate modeling, and hybrid optimization.

Particular attention is given to their ability to search complex solution spaces, approximate engineering system behavior, and support decision-making under multiple constraints. The study also considers how different optimization strategies can be selected according to the computational characteristics and requirements of specific engineering problems.

2.2 Assessment of Engineering Applications

The second objective is to assess the application of AI optimization across major engineering domains. The analysis considers engineering design, manufacturing, energy systems, infrastructure, process engineering, and intelligent maintenance.

The objective is to identify how AI-based optimization can improve efficiency, reliability, sustainability, cost-effectiveness, and adaptability while also recognizing the technical requirements associated with implementation.

3. Literature Review

3.1 Artificial Intelligence and Engineering Optimization

AI-based engineering optimization has developed through the integration of computational intelligence with traditional optimization theory. Early engineering optimization relied heavily on mathematical programming, gradient-based algorithms, numerical simulation, and deterministic search procedures. Although these methods remain effective for many structured problems, complex engineering systems frequently contain nonlinear relationships, discontinuities, uncertainties, and multiple competing objectives. AI techniques provide flexible alternatives because they can learn system relationships from data and combine prediction with optimization.

Recent research has emphasized the expanding role of AI in engineering design and optimization. Yüksel et al. examined AI applications from an engineering design perspective and reported growing opportunities for AI to address complex design relationships and ambiguous design

parameters. Similarly, Jenis et al. reviewed AI applications in mechanical design and optimization, demonstrating the increasing use of machine learning and deep learning for engineering component and system development.

3.2 Machine Learning and Surrogate-Based Optimization

Machine learning-based optimization frequently involves developing predictive models that approximate relationships between design variables and engineering responses. Regression models, artificial neural networks, support vector machines, decision trees, ensemble learning, and deep learning models can be trained using experimental, historical, or simulation-generated data.

Surrogate modeling is especially useful when engineering simulations require substantial computational resources. Instead of running a high-fidelity simulation for every candidate solution, a trained surrogate model can estimate system performance rapidly. The optimization algorithm can then use these predictions to identify promising designs, reducing the number of expensive simulations.

3.3 Metaheuristic and Evolutionary Optimization

Evolutionary and metaheuristic methods are widely used for engineering optimization because they can explore complex search spaces without requiring gradient information. Genetic algorithms use evolutionary principles to improve candidate solutions through selection, crossover, and mutation. Particle swarm optimization models collective behavior and updates candidate solutions according to individual and group experience. Differential evolution uses population-based vector operations to explore numerical optimization problems.

Recent reviews indicate continued expansion of metaheuristic optimization for global and engineering problems. These approaches are particularly useful when objective functions are nonlinear, multimodal, discontinuous, or computationally difficult. A comprehensive 2024 review also emphasized the continuing development of bio-inspired optimization methods and their application to difficult engineering problems.

3.4 Hybrid and Intelligent Optimization Models

Hybrid optimization combines multiple computational approaches to exploit their complementary strengths. For example, a neural network can approximate system performance while a genetic algorithm searches for optimal parameters. Similarly, reinforcement learning can provide adaptive decision-making while mathematical optimization ensures constraint compliance. Hybrid approaches can therefore integrate learning, prediction, exploration, and engineering knowledge.

Industrial process optimization represents an important application of hybrid methods. Recent research comparing machine learning, conventional model-based approaches, and hybrid methods has demonstrated their relevance for real-time process control and optimization. These developments suggest that future engineering optimization is likely to involve combinations of AI and established optimization methods rather than complete replacement of conventional engineering approaches.

4. Methodology

4.1 Research Design

This study adopts a structured qualitative review methodology to examine AI-based optimization models used in modern engineering applications. Relevant academic literature was examined according to four major dimensions: optimization methodology, engineering application, computational characteristics,

and implementation challenges. The review emphasizes research published up to 2024, ensuring that the discussion remains consistent with the defined reference-period requirement. The methodology focuses on identifying common patterns rather than evaluating a single engineering dataset or experimental system.

The literature was conceptually organized into machine learning optimization, deep learning optimization, evolutionary and metaheuristic optimization, reinforcement learning, surrogate-assisted optimization, and hybrid AI optimization. Engineering applications were subsequently categorized into design, manufacturing, energy, infrastructure, process engineering, and maintenance. This classification provides a systematic basis for comparing approaches and identifying areas in which AI optimization offers particular advantages or limitations.

4.2 Analytical Framework

The analytical framework evaluates AI optimization models according to their optimization capability, adaptability, computational efficiency, scalability, interpretability, and engineering feasibility. The framework considers both predictive and optimization functions because an AI model may demonstrate high predictive accuracy without necessarily producing an optimal engineering decision. Therefore, optimization quality is evaluated conceptually through solution quality, computational cost, constraint satisfaction, robustness, and adaptability.

The framework additionally recognizes the importance of integrating AI predictions with engineering constraints and domain knowledge. A technically attractive solution is not necessarily suitable for practical implementation if it violates safety requirements, material limitations, operating boundaries, or physical laws. Consequently, the study treats AI optimization as a decision-support mechanism that should operate within an appropriate engineering validation process.

4.3 Evaluation Criteria

The principal evaluation criteria used in the analysis are:

- **Optimization accuracy:** quality of solutions produced by the AI model.
- **Computational efficiency:** reduction in optimization or simulation time.
- **Scalability:** ability to operate with increasing variables and system complexity.
- **Constraint handling:** ability to satisfy engineering and operational constraints.
- **Adaptability:** capacity to respond to changing system conditions.
- **Interpretability:** transparency of optimization decisions.
- **Robustness:** stability under uncertainty and noisy data.
- **Engineering feasibility:** compatibility with physical and operational requirements.

5. Analysis

5.1 AI Optimization Models and Their Engineering Characteristics

AI optimization models differ substantially in how they learn, search, and make decisions. Machine learning models are particularly suitable when sufficient historical or simulation data are available. Evolutionary and swarm-based approaches are more flexible when the mathematical structure of the objective function is complex. Reinforcement learning is appropriate for sequential decision-making and dynamic environments, while surrogate-assisted approaches are valuable when repeated high-fidelity simulations are expensive.

Table 1: Comparative Characteristics of AI-Based Optimization Models

AI Optimization Model	Major Strength	Typical Engineering Application	Main Limitation
Genetic Algorithm	Global search capability	Engineering design	Computationally intensive
Particle Swarm Optimization	Simple population-based search	Parameter optimization	Premature convergence
Differential Evolution	Effective numerical optimization	Design parameter optimization	Sensitive to control parameters
Neural Network Optimization	Strong nonlinear approximation	Predictive engineering design	Requires sufficient training data
Deep Learning	High-dimensional pattern learning	Complex engineering systems	High computational requirements
Reinforcement Learning	Adaptive sequential decisions	Dynamic control systems	Training instability
Surrogate Optimization	Reduces simulation cost	Simulation-based design	Surrogate prediction errors
Hybrid AI Optimization	Combines complementary capabilities	Complex multidisciplinary systems	Increased model complexity

The comparison demonstrates that no single AI optimization method is universally appropriate. Population-based algorithms provide strong exploration capabilities but may require substantial computational resources. Neural and deep learning models can efficiently represent nonlinear relationships but depend strongly on data quality and training conditions.

Surrogate models can substantially reduce simulation requirements, although inaccurate approximations can affect optimization outcomes. Hybrid approaches offer broader capabilities but introduce additional complexity in model development and validation.

5.2 Engineering Application Domains

AI optimization has become relevant across multiple engineering domains because engineering problems increasingly involve interacting variables and competing objectives. In mechanical engineering, AI can support component design, material selection, manufacturing parameter optimization, predictive maintenance, and structural performance improvement. In civil engineering, optimization can support infrastructure design, resource allocation, structural planning, and construction

management. Recent research has specifically reviewed metaheuristic optimization in civil infrastructure applications.

Energy engineering represents another major domain. AI-based optimization can support power generation scheduling, energy storage management, load forecasting, grid operation, and renewable-energy integration. Manufacturing systems can similarly use intelligent optimization for scheduling, production planning, quality control, and process parameter selection. Industrial process research has demonstrated the relevance of AI/ML and hybrid approaches to closed-loop control and optimization.

Table 2: Major Engineering Applications of AI-Based Optimization

Engineering Domain	Optimization Objective	AI-Based Approach	Expected Benefit
Mechanical Engineering	Design and performance improvement	ML, GA, PSO, ANN	Better designs and reduced cost
Civil Engineering	Infrastructure optimization	Metaheuristics, ML	Efficient resource allocation
Manufacturing	Process and production optimization	ML, RL, GA	Higher productivity
Energy Engineering	Energy scheduling and efficiency	Deep learning, RL	Improved energy utilization
Electrical Engineering	System operation optimization	ML, PSO, hybrid models	Improved reliability
Chemical Engineering	Process control and optimization	ML, surrogate models	Reduced operating cost
Industrial Engineering	Scheduling and resource allocation	GA, RL, hybrid AI	Improved operational efficiency
Maintenance Engineering	Maintenance planning	ML, predictive optimization	Reduced downtime

The application analysis demonstrates that AI optimization has a broad multidisciplinary role. Its most important contribution is not merely the replacement of traditional optimization algorithms but the ability to combine prediction, search, and adaptation within a single computational framework. This makes AI particularly relevant to engineering systems where operating conditions and design requirements change over time.

5.3 Performance and Implementation Considerations

The effectiveness of AI optimization depends on more than the algorithm selected. Data quality, parameter configuration, model architecture, objective formulation, constraints, computational resources, and validation procedures all influence the final solution. An algorithm that performs

effectively on a benchmark function may not necessarily perform equally well in a real engineering environment.

Engineering optimization should therefore use multiple evaluation measures. Solution quality should be considered together with computational cost, convergence behavior, robustness, and feasibility. For safety-critical applications, engineering validation should remain mandatory even when an AI model demonstrates strong computational performance. The increasing literature on modern optimization techniques emphasizes the importance of benchmarking and comparative evaluation when assessing optimization approaches.

6. Discussion

6.1 Integration of AI with Conventional Engineering Optimization

The findings indicate that AI-based optimization should generally be viewed as complementary to conventional engineering optimization. Traditional mathematical models provide physical interpretation, constraint definitions, and established engineering principles, whereas AI models provide learning, approximation, and adaptive search capabilities. Combining these characteristics can improve the reliability and efficiency of engineering decision-making.

Hybrid models are therefore particularly promising for modern engineering. A physics-based model can define system constraints while an AI model approximates difficult relationships. An optimization algorithm can then search the resulting solution space. Such architectures can reduce computational cost while retaining important engineering knowledge.

6.2 Contribution to Engineering Efficiency and Sustainability

AI optimization can contribute to engineering efficiency by identifying solutions that reduce energy use, material consumption, operational cost, and unnecessary computational effort. In manufacturing, optimized process parameters can reduce waste and improve productivity. In energy systems, intelligent scheduling can improve utilization of available resources. In infrastructure, optimized designs can balance structural requirements with material and economic constraints.

The sustainability contribution of AI optimization is particularly important because engineering decisions increasingly require simultaneous consideration of economic, environmental, and technical objectives. Multi-objective AI optimization provides a mechanism for balancing these competing requirements rather than optimizing a single performance indicator.

6.3 Reliability, Interpretability, and Engineering Trust

Despite the potential benefits, AI optimization raises concerns regarding interpretability and reliability. Highly complex models may produce accurate predictions without providing clear explanations of how an optimal solution was obtained. This can be problematic in safety-critical engineering environments where engineers need to understand the relationship between input conditions and recommended decisions.

Engineering trust therefore requires transparent validation procedures. AI-generated solutions should be evaluated against physical constraints, established engineering models, experimental evidence, and domain-specific performance requirements. Explainable AI, physics-informed learning, uncertainty quantification, and human-in-the-loop optimization can contribute to improving confidence in AI-supported engineering decisions.

7. Challenges

AI-based optimization models face significant challenges related to data availability and quality. Engineering datasets may contain missing observations, measurement errors, inconsistent operating conditions, or limited samples. High-quality AI optimization models often require representative datasets covering the operating range of interest. If the training data fail to represent unusual or boundary conditions, the model may generate unreliable optimization recommendations.

Another challenge concerns computational complexity. Deep learning, population-based optimization, and repeated simulation-based optimization may require substantial processing resources. Large engineering problems can involve thousands or millions of candidate solutions, making computational efficiency an important consideration. Surrogate modeling can reduce this burden, but the surrogate itself must be carefully trained and validated.

Interpretability and trust also remain major challenges. Engineering professionals may hesitate to use optimization recommendations generated by opaque models when decisions affect safety, reliability, or high-value assets. The integration of explainable AI and engineering knowledge is therefore necessary to make optimization decisions understandable and verifiable.

Finally, transferring AI optimization models from laboratory or simulation environments to real engineering systems remains difficult. Real-world environments contain uncertainty, changing operating conditions, unexpected disturbances, and constraints that may not be included in training datasets. Robust validation and continuous monitoring are therefore required before large-scale deployment.

8. Future Research

8.1 Physics-Informed AI Optimization

Future research should increasingly integrate physical laws and engineering principles directly into AI optimization models. Physics-informed approaches can reduce dependence on large datasets while improving physical consistency. These models may combine differential equations, simulation outputs, engineering constraints, and machine learning within a unified optimization framework. Such integration can be particularly valuable in applications where experimental data are limited or expensive to obtain.

8.2 Explainable and Trustworthy Optimization

Research should also focus on explainable AI optimization models capable of providing understandable reasons for recommended engineering solutions. Future systems should provide information regarding the variables influencing optimization decisions, constraint satisfaction, uncertainty, and expected performance. This can improve engineer confidence and facilitate regulatory and safety validation.

8.3 Autonomous and Adaptive Engineering Optimization

Future engineering systems are likely to require optimization models that continuously adapt to changing operating conditions. Reinforcement learning, online learning, digital twins, and adaptive surrogate models may support this development. Autonomous optimization could enable engineering systems to identify changing conditions and adjust operating parameters without requiring complete model redevelopment. However, human supervision and safety constraints will remain essential.

9. Limitations

This study is primarily a structured review and conceptual analysis rather than an experimental investigation using a single engineering dataset. Consequently, the findings provide comparative insights

into AI optimization approaches but do not establish the superiority of one algorithm across all engineering applications. Performance can vary considerably according to the problem formulation, dataset, constraints, optimization objective, and computational environment.

Another limitation is the multidisciplinary scope of the study. Engineering applications differ significantly in their physical principles, safety requirements, data availability, and operational conditions. Therefore, conclusions applicable to one engineering domain may not transfer directly to another. The study also focuses on literature available up to 2024, meaning that developments published after this period are outside the defined reference scope.

Finally, AI optimization performance is highly dependent on implementation quality. Algorithm selection, hyperparameter configuration, training data, simulation accuracy, and validation procedures can substantially influence outcomes. Therefore, the conceptual advantages discussed in this paper should be validated through domain-specific experiments and real-world engineering case studies before practical deployment.

10. Conclusion

Artificial intelligence-based optimization represents an important development in modern engineering computation. By combining machine learning, deep learning, evolutionary algorithms, swarm intelligence, reinforcement learning, surrogate modeling, and hybrid computational approaches, AI can address engineering problems characterized by nonlinear relationships, high-dimensional search spaces, multiple objectives, and dynamic operating conditions. The analysis indicates that AI optimization is particularly valuable when conventional mathematical approaches become computationally expensive or difficult to formulate.

The study also demonstrates that AI optimization can contribute to engineering design, manufacturing, energy management, infrastructure planning, process control, and intelligent maintenance. However, successful implementation depends on more than algorithmic performance. Data quality, computational efficiency, constraint handling, interpretability, robustness, and physical feasibility must be considered simultaneously. Hybrid approaches that combine AI capabilities with conventional engineering models appear particularly promising because they can provide computational flexibility while preserving important engineering knowledge.

Future engineering optimization is therefore likely to move toward integrated intelligent frameworks rather than completely replacing established engineering methodologies. Physics-informed AI, explainable optimization, adaptive learning, surrogate modeling, digital twins, and human-in-the-loop systems can strengthen the reliability and practical value of AI-supported optimization. With appropriate validation and domain-specific safeguards, AI-based optimization models can become important tools for developing efficient, reliable, sustainable, and intelligent engineering systems.

References

1. Abualigah, L., Diabat, A., Mirjalili, S., Abd Elaziz, M., & Gandomi, A. H. (2021). The arithmetic optimization algorithm. *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering*, 376, 113609. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2020.113609>
2. Abualigah, L., Yousri, D., Elaziz, M. A., Ewees, A. A., Al-qaness, M. A. A., & Gandomi, A. H. (2021). Aquila optimizer: A novel meta-heuristic optimization algorithm. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 157, 107250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2021.107250>
3. Azevedo, B. F., Rocha, A. M. A. C., & Pereira, A. I. (2024). Hybrid approaches to optimization and machine learning methods: A systematic literature review. *Machine Learning*, 113, 4055–4097. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10994-023-06467-x>
4. Bertsimas, D., & Kallus, N. (2020). From predictive to prescriptive analytics. *Management Science*, 66(3), 1025–1044. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2018.3253>
5. Deb, K., Pratap, A., Agarwal, S., & Meyarivan, T. (2002). A fast and elitist multiobjective genetic algorithm: NSGA-II. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 6(2), 182–197. <https://doi.org/10.1109/4235.996017>
6. Dorigo, M., Birattari, M., & Stützle, T. (2006). Ant colony optimization: Artificial ants as a computational intelligence technique. *IEEE Computational Intelligence Magazine*, 1(4), 28–39. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCI.2006.329691>
7. Fister, I., Fister, D., Fister, I., & Yang, X.-S. (2013). A comprehensive review of firefly algorithms. *Swarm and Evolutionary Computation*, 13, 34–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.swevo.2013.06.001>
8. Gandomi, A. H., & Alavi, A. H. (2012). Krill herd: A new bio-inspired optimization algorithm. *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, 17(12), 4831–4845. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2012.05.010>
9. Gandomi, A. H., Yang, X.-S., Talatahari, S., & Alavi, A. H. (Eds.). (2013). *Metaheuristic algorithms in the engineering applications*. Springer.
10. Houssein, E. H., Saeed, M. K., Hu, G., & Al-Sayed, M. M. (2024). Metaheuristics for solving global and engineering optimization problems: Review, applications, open issues and challenges. *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, 31, 4485–4519. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11831-024-10168-6>
11. Kennedy, J., & Eberhart, R. (1995). Particle swarm optimization. In *Proceedings of ICNN'95—International Conference on Neural Networks* (Vol. 4, pp. 1942–1948). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICNN.1995.488968>
12. Li, G., Zhang, T., Tsai, C.-Y., Yao, L., Lu, Y., & Tang, J. (2024). Review of the metaheuristic algorithms in applications: Visual analysis based on bibliometrics. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 255, 124857. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2024.124857>
13. Mirjalili, S., Mirjalili, S. M., & Lewis, A. (2014). Grey wolf optimizer. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 69, 46–61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2013.12.007>
14. Mirjalili, S., & Lewis, A. (2016). The whale optimization algorithm. *Advances in Engineering Software*, 95, 51–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2016.01.008>
15. Salgotra, R., Sharma, P., Raju, S., & Gandomi, A. H. (2024). A contemporary systematic review on meta-heuristic optimization algorithms with their MATLAB and Python code reference. *Archives of Computational Methods in Engineering*, 31, 1749–1822. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11831-023-10030-1>
16. Selvarajan, S. (2024). A comprehensive study on modern optimization techniques for engineering applications. *Artificial Intelligence Review*, 57, 194. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-024-10829-9>

17. Shi, Y., & Eberhart, R. (1998). A modified particle swarm optimizer. In *1998 IEEE International Conference on Evolutionary Computation Proceedings* (pp. 69–73). IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICEC.1998.699146>
18. Slowik, A., & Kwasnicka, H. (2020). Evolutionary algorithms and their applications to engineering problems. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 32, 12363–12379.
19. Yang, X.-S. (2014). *Nature-inspired optimization algorithms*. Elsevier.
20. Yang, C., Zhang, Y., & Li, X. (2024). Advancements in Q-learning meta-heuristic optimization algorithms: A survey. *WIREs Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 14(6), e1548. <https://doi.org/10.1002/widm.1548>