

AI-Driven Predictive Maintenance for Industrial Engineering Systems

Dr. Eszter Horváth

Assistant Professor, University of Miskolc, Hungary

Abstract

Industrial engineering systems depend on the reliable operation of machines, production equipment, transportation mechanisms, energy systems, and automated manufacturing infrastructure. Unexpected equipment failures can interrupt production, increase maintenance costs, reduce asset utilization, and create safety risks. Conventional maintenance approaches based on fixed schedules or reactive intervention are often unable to accurately reflect the changing condition of industrial assets. Artificial intelligence provides new opportunities for predictive maintenance by enabling industrial systems to analyze large volumes of sensor-generated data and identify patterns associated with equipment degradation. This paper examines AI-driven predictive maintenance as an intelligent approach for monitoring and managing industrial engineering systems. The study focuses on sensor-based data acquisition, data preprocessing, feature engineering, machine learning, deep learning, anomaly detection, remaining useful life estimation, and maintenance decision support.

A conceptual framework is developed in which industrial equipment continuously generates operational data that are processed through edge or centralized analytical platforms. AI models then identify abnormal patterns and estimate potential failure conditions. The analysis indicates that AI-driven predictive maintenance can improve equipment condition visibility, support earlier fault detection, and enhance maintenance planning. The integration of multiple sensor modalities can further improve the representation of complex equipment conditions, while edge-based AI can reduce latency for time-sensitive industrial applications. However, practical deployment remains constrained by data quality, insufficient failure examples, model interpretability, cybersecurity, computational requirements, sensor reliability, and differences among industrial assets. Future research should focus on explainable AI, transfer learning, federated learning, digital twins, adaptive models, and human-centered maintenance decision systems. AI-driven predictive maintenance therefore represents a significant pathway toward more reliable, efficient, adaptive, and intelligent industrial engineering environments.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Predictive Maintenance, Industrial Engineering, Machine Learning, Deep Learning, Condition Monitoring, Fault Detection, Remaining Useful Life

1. Introduction

Industrial engineering systems consist of interconnected machines, production processes, control systems, material-handling equipment, energy infrastructure, and digital technologies. The reliable operation of these components is essential for maintaining productivity, product quality, workplace safety, and economic performance. Equipment failures can cause production interruptions, emergency repairs, material losses, and secondary damage to interconnected assets. As industrial systems become

increasingly automated and interconnected, maintenance strategies must evolve from traditional periodic inspections toward approaches that can continuously evaluate equipment condition and anticipate potential failures.

Conventional maintenance practices generally include corrective maintenance, preventive maintenance, and condition-based maintenance. Corrective maintenance responds to failures after they occur, while preventive maintenance schedules interventions according to predefined time or usage intervals. Although preventive strategies can reduce certain failure risks, they may result in unnecessary component replacement when equipment remains in good condition. Condition-based maintenance improves this approach by using observed equipment characteristics. However, the increasing volume and complexity of industrial sensor data require advanced analytical techniques capable of identifying subtle relationships and nonlinear degradation patterns.

Artificial intelligence provides an important technological foundation for addressing these challenges. Machine learning algorithms can learn relationships between operational variables and equipment conditions from historical data. Deep learning models can process complex time-series, vibration, acoustic, thermal, and image-based information to identify patterns associated with equipment abnormalities. These capabilities enable predictive maintenance systems to move beyond simple threshold-based alarms toward data-driven estimation of equipment health and potential failure.

AI-driven predictive maintenance typically combines sensing technologies, industrial communication networks, data-processing platforms, and intelligent analytical models. Sensors installed on industrial equipment can continuously measure vibration, temperature, pressure, current, acoustic emissions, rotational speed, and energy consumption. These observations can be transmitted to edge or cloud platforms where preprocessing and analytical modeling are performed. The resulting information can support anomaly detection, fault classification, failure prediction, and remaining useful life estimation.

Despite its potential, AI-based predictive maintenance introduces several challenges. Industrial failure datasets are often highly imbalanced because normal operation produces considerably more observations than actual failures. Equipment operating conditions may also change over time, causing model performance to decline when deployed outside the conditions represented in the training data. Furthermore, maintenance personnel may be reluctant to rely on models that provide predictions without understandable explanations. Therefore, successful deployment requires not only accurate algorithms but also reliable sensing, appropriate data management, interpretable models, cybersecurity mechanisms, and effective integration with maintenance workflows.

2. Objectives

2.1 Development of AI-Based Predictive Maintenance Framework

The first objective is to examine an integrated framework for applying artificial intelligence to industrial predictive maintenance. The framework considers sensor-based data acquisition, preprocessing, feature extraction, machine learning, deep learning, anomaly detection, and equipment-health assessment.

It emphasizes the transformation of continuously generated industrial data into meaningful indicators of equipment condition. The objective is to establish a structured approach through which AI technologies can support early identification of equipment degradation and potential failures.

2.2 Evaluation of Maintenance Decision Support

The second objective is to evaluate how AI-generated predictions can improve maintenance planning and operational decision-making. The study considers fault classification, anomaly detection, remaining useful life estimation, maintenance prioritization, and early-warning mechanisms.

It also examines practical issues involving model reliability, data imbalance, interpretability, cybersecurity, and deployment scalability. The objective is to identify the conditions under which AI-driven predictive maintenance can provide meaningful operational value while complementing the expertise of industrial maintenance personnel.

3. Review of Literature

3.1 Predictive Maintenance in Industrial Engineering

Predictive maintenance aims to determine when equipment is likely to experience degradation or failure so that maintenance can be performed before significant operational consequences occur. The approach relies on continuous or periodic equipment-condition information rather than fixed maintenance schedules. Industrial applications commonly use vibration, temperature, pressure, electrical, acoustic, and lubrication-related measurements to assess asset health.

The development of Industrial Internet of Things technologies has expanded predictive maintenance capabilities by making equipment data more accessible. Connected sensors can provide continuous observations from geographically distributed assets. These observations create opportunities for historical trend analysis and real-time condition assessment. However, the usefulness of predictive maintenance depends heavily on data quality and the ability to distinguish meaningful degradation patterns from normal operational variability.

3.2 Machine Learning for Equipment Fault Detection

Machine learning has become an important technique for identifying abnormal equipment conditions. Supervised learning models can classify known fault categories when sufficient labeled data are available. Unsupervised and semi-supervised methods are useful when failure labels are limited because they can identify deviations from established normal operating patterns.

Common algorithms include support vector machines, decision trees, random forests, nearest-neighbor methods, clustering approaches, and ensemble techniques. These models can process extracted features from sensor signals and classify equipment states. Their effectiveness depends on appropriate feature selection, representative training data, and careful model validation. Changes in equipment operating conditions may require continuous model monitoring and adaptation.

3.3 Deep Learning for Industrial Predictive Maintenance

Deep learning has expanded the analytical capabilities of predictive maintenance by allowing models to learn complex representations directly from raw or minimally processed data. Convolutional neural networks can analyze transformed vibration and signal representations, while recurrent and temporal models can capture relationships across sequential observations. Autoencoders can also be used for anomaly detection by learning representations of normal equipment behavior.

The major advantage of deep learning is its ability to model complex nonlinear relationships. However, deep models often require substantial training data and computational resources. Their limited interpretability can also create challenges when maintenance engineers need to understand why a

particular prediction was generated. Consequently, deep learning should be evaluated not only according to predictive accuracy but also according to operational usability.

3.4 Remaining Useful Life Estimation

Remaining useful life estimation attempts to determine the expected operational duration of equipment before a defined failure or maintenance condition occurs. Accurate RUL estimation can help organizations schedule maintenance resources, replacement components, labor, and production activities. Machine learning and deep learning models can learn degradation trajectories from historical equipment data.

However, RUL prediction is difficult because equipment degradation may not follow a uniform pattern. Operating conditions, maintenance interventions, environmental factors, and component differences can alter degradation trajectories. Research therefore increasingly focuses on models capable of handling uncertainty, changing operating conditions, and limited failure observations.

4. Methodology

4.1 Data Acquisition and Industrial Monitoring

The methodology adopts a conceptual data-driven framework for AI-based predictive maintenance. Industrial equipment is represented as a monitored asset that generates multiple streams of operational information. Sensors collect vibration, temperature, pressure, electrical current, acoustic, speed, and energy-related measurements according to equipment-specific requirements. These measurements are transmitted through industrial communication infrastructure to an edge device or centralized analytical platform. Historical maintenance records and operational logs can be integrated with sensor data to provide additional contextual information.

Data preprocessing is performed before AI-based analysis. Noise reduction, missing-value treatment, normalization, timestamp synchronization, and outlier handling are applied where necessary. The resulting dataset is divided according to analytical requirements, with historical observations used for model development and independent observations reserved for validation. Where labeled failure information is limited, anomaly-detection or semi-supervised techniques can be incorporated to identify deviations from normal behavior.

4.2 AI Model Development

The second methodological stage involves selecting appropriate AI techniques according to the characteristics of the industrial data. Supervised classification models can be applied when labeled fault categories are available, whereas unsupervised models can identify abnormal operating patterns without extensive failure labels. Regression-based approaches can be used for estimating equipment-health indicators and remaining useful life. Deep learning models may be considered when large-scale time-series or high-dimensional sensor data are available.

Model development includes feature selection, training, validation, and performance evaluation. Evaluation can consider accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, false-alarm rate, and prediction error depending on the specific maintenance task. Cross-validation or time-based validation can be used to reduce the risk of overestimating model performance. Model outputs are then converted into equipment-health states, anomaly scores, failure probabilities, or maintenance recommendations.

4.3 Predictive Maintenance Decision Framework

The final methodological stage connects AI predictions with maintenance decisions. The framework considers equipment criticality, predicted degradation, operational context, and maintenance requirements. Rather than treating an AI prediction as an automatic maintenance command, the system provides decision-support information to maintenance personnel. This allows technical experts to combine algorithmic predictions with engineering knowledge and inspection results.

Key components of the decision framework include:

- Continuous equipment-condition monitoring.
- Sensor data integration and preprocessing.
- AI-based anomaly detection.
- Fault classification and diagnosis.
- Equipment-health scoring.
- Remaining useful life estimation.
- Failure-risk prioritization.
- Maintenance-alert generation.
- Human validation of AI recommendations.
- Historical feedback for model improvement.

5. Analysis

5.1 AI Techniques for Predictive Maintenance

AI techniques provide different capabilities depending on the predictive maintenance problem. Classification models are appropriate when specific fault categories are known, while anomaly-detection models are useful when failure examples are scarce.

Regression models can estimate continuous health indicators, whereas temporal deep-learning models can capture degradation patterns across time.

Table 1: AI Techniques and Predictive Maintenance Applications

AI Technique	Primary Function	Industrial Application
Decision Trees	Classification	Equipment fault identification
Random Forest	Ensemble classification	Multi-condition fault diagnosis
Support Vector Machine	Classification	Machinery condition recognition
Clustering	Pattern discovery	Unsupervised anomaly detection
Autoencoder	Reconstruction analysis	Abnormality identification
Neural Networks	Nonlinear prediction	Equipment health estimation
Deep Temporal Models	Sequential analysis	Degradation and RUL prediction

The table demonstrates that no single AI technique is universally optimal for predictive maintenance. Model selection should depend on data availability, fault complexity, computational requirements, and the desired prediction outcome.

Traditional machine learning can perform effectively with carefully engineered features and relatively moderate datasets, while deep learning becomes more attractive when large and complex data streams are available. Hybrid approaches can combine multiple techniques to improve robustness.

5.2 Predictive Maintenance Performance

AI-driven predictive maintenance can improve maintenance performance through earlier detection and more accurate prioritization of equipment risks.

A monitoring system can assign different risk levels according to anomaly intensity, historical behavior, equipment criticality, and predicted failure probability. Such information can help maintenance teams concentrate resources on assets requiring immediate attention.

Table 2: AI-Driven Maintenance Functions and Operational Benefits

Maintenance Function	AI Contribution	Expected Operational Benefit
Condition Monitoring	Continuous pattern analysis	Improved asset visibility
Anomaly Detection	Identification of deviations	Earlier fault recognition
Fault Diagnosis	Classification of abnormal states	Faster troubleshooting
RUL Prediction	Degradation forecasting	Better maintenance scheduling
Risk Prioritization	Asset-level risk scoring	Improved resource allocation
Decision Support	Maintenance recommendations	More informed interventions

The table indicates that AI can support the complete predictive maintenance process rather than only fault detection. Continuous condition monitoring establishes the data foundation, while anomaly detection and diagnosis identify emerging problems.

RUL estimation provides information about potential intervention timing, and risk prioritization helps maintenance teams determine which assets require attention first. The resulting decision-support capabilities can improve coordination between maintenance and production activities.

5.3 Integration of Edge AI

Edge AI can strengthen predictive maintenance by moving selected analytical operations closer to industrial equipment. Instead of transmitting all raw sensor information to centralized platforms, edge devices can filter data, extract features, and identify immediate anomalies. This approach can reduce network traffic and provide faster responses to abnormal conditions.

Edge-based predictive maintenance is particularly useful for applications involving high-frequency vibration or acoustic signals. Processing these signals locally can prevent excessive data transmission while preserving critical information. Nevertheless, edge AI systems must operate under computational, memory, energy, and cybersecurity constraints. Model compression and efficient algorithms may therefore become important for industrial edge deployment.

6. Discussion

6.1 Transformation of Industrial Maintenance

AI-driven predictive maintenance represents a shift from predetermined maintenance schedules toward condition-aware maintenance planning. Instead of assuming that equipment requires servicing after a fixed operating period, organizations can use real-time information to determine whether an asset is actually showing signs of deterioration. This can reduce unnecessary maintenance and improve the timing of interventions.

The transformation also changes the role of maintenance personnel. Engineers increasingly interact with dashboards, health indicators, risk scores, and predictive alerts rather than relying exclusively on manual inspection. Human expertise remains important because AI models may produce uncertain or context-dependent predictions. Effective systems should therefore combine algorithmic intelligence with engineering judgment.

6.2 Reliability of AI Predictions

The reliability of AI predictions is strongly influenced by training-data quality. Industrial systems may generate millions of normal observations but only a small number of actual failure events. This imbalance can cause models to perform well during normal operation while failing to identify rare fault conditions. Appropriate resampling, anomaly detection, cost-sensitive learning, and synthetic-data strategies can help address this challenge.

Model performance can also deteriorate when equipment operating conditions change. A model trained under one production load or environmental condition may not perform equally well under another. Continuous model evaluation and adaptation are therefore necessary. Transfer learning and domain adaptation can provide mechanisms for transferring knowledge across similar industrial assets while reducing the requirement for extensive new training data.

6.3 Explainability and Human-Centered Maintenance

Explainability is particularly important in industrial environments because maintenance decisions can influence production continuity and safety. Maintenance personnel may require information about which sensor variables contributed to an alert and how strongly they influenced the predicted condition. Explainable AI methods can provide feature importance, local explanations, or visual representations of abnormal patterns.

Human-centered predictive maintenance should treat AI as a decision-support mechanism rather than an isolated replacement for engineering expertise. Maintenance engineers can validate predictions through physical inspection, operational knowledge, and contextual information. This combination can improve trust and reduce the risks associated with blindly following automated predictions.

7. Challenges

One of the primary challenges in AI-driven predictive maintenance is the availability of high-quality industrial data. Equipment failures are relatively rare, and historical maintenance records may be incomplete or inconsistent. Sensors can also generate noisy measurements because of environmental conditions, calibration errors, or communication interruptions. Different equipment may operate under different loads and environmental conditions, making it difficult to develop a single model that performs

reliably across all assets. Effective data governance, sensor calibration, synchronization, and data-quality assessment are therefore essential.

Another major challenge is the operational deployment of AI models. Complex models can require considerable computational resources, while industrial environments may have limited connectivity and strict latency requirements. Cybersecurity risks also increase when equipment and predictive-maintenance systems become interconnected. Furthermore, maintenance personnel may hesitate to trust predictions that cannot be explained. Organizations therefore need secure infrastructure, interpretable analytical methods, continuous model validation, and appropriate human oversight. These requirements increase implementation complexity and may affect the cost of large-scale deployment.

8. Future Research

8.1 Explainable and Trustworthy AI

Future research should develop explainable AI methods specifically designed for industrial maintenance. Models should not only predict equipment failures but also provide understandable evidence supporting their predictions. Research can investigate feature attribution, interpretable temporal models, uncertainty estimation, and visualization techniques that allow maintenance engineers to examine abnormal conditions. Trustworthy AI frameworks should also address reliability, fairness of model behavior across equipment groups, and robustness against noisy or manipulated sensor data.

8.2 Transfer Learning and Federated Learning

Transfer learning can reduce the dependence on large failure datasets by transferring knowledge from one equipment type or operating environment to another related environment. This approach may be particularly useful when individual facilities have limited historical failure records. Federated learning provides another promising direction by allowing models to learn from multiple industrial sites without requiring centralized sharing of sensitive raw data. These methods could improve scalability while supporting industrial data privacy.

8.3 Digital Twins and Autonomous Maintenance

The integration of AI predictive maintenance with digital twins can create richer representations of industrial equipment. Sensor observations can update digital asset models, while AI algorithms can compare real and simulated equipment behavior. This combination can support degradation analysis, failure simulation, and maintenance planning. Future autonomous maintenance systems may further integrate AI predictions with scheduling and resource-management platforms, while retaining human authorization for critical interventions.

9. Limitations

This paper presents a conceptual framework rather than an experimental study using a specific industrial equipment dataset. Consequently, the analysis does not provide quantitative evidence for a particular AI model or industrial sector. Predictive performance can differ substantially according to sensor configuration, equipment type, operating environment, failure characteristics, and data availability.

A further limitation is the dependence of AI-driven predictive maintenance on historical information. Industrial organizations with limited failure records may face difficulties in training highly specialized supervised models. In addition, changes in equipment configuration or operating conditions

may reduce model generalization. Future research should therefore validate the framework using long-term industrial datasets and comparative evaluations across multiple equipment categories and operating environments.

10. Conclusion

AI-driven predictive maintenance provides a powerful approach for improving the reliability and efficiency of industrial engineering systems. By combining continuous sensor monitoring with machine learning and deep learning, industrial organizations can identify abnormal operating patterns, diagnose potential faults, estimate equipment health, and support maintenance planning. Unlike fixed maintenance schedules, AI-based approaches can respond to changing equipment conditions and provide more targeted intervention strategies. The integration of edge processing further strengthens real-time monitoring by reducing communication delays and unnecessary data transmission.

The analysis demonstrates that predictive maintenance should be considered as an integrated engineering system rather than simply an AI algorithm. Reliable sensing, data preprocessing, analytical modeling, communication infrastructure, equipment criticality assessment, and human decision support are all necessary components. Data imbalance, model drift, cybersecurity, interpretability, and deployment complexity remain important challenges. Addressing these issues requires cooperation between AI specialists, industrial engineers, maintenance professionals, and system designers.

Future predictive maintenance environments are likely to become more adaptive and interconnected through explainable AI, transfer learning, federated learning, digital twins, and edge intelligence. These technologies can improve the ability of industrial systems to learn from operational data while supporting faster and more informed maintenance decisions. With appropriate validation and human oversight, AI-driven predictive maintenance can contribute to reduced downtime, improved asset utilization, optimized maintenance resources, and more resilient industrial engineering operations.

References

1. Huang, C., Bu, S., Lee, H. H., Chan, C. H., Kong, S. W., & Yung, W. K. C. (2024). Prognostics and health management for predictive maintenance: A review. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 75, 78–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2024.05.021>
2. Arena, S., Florian, E., Sgarbossa, F., Sølvsberg, E., & Zennaro, I. (2024). A conceptual framework for machine learning algorithm selection for predictive maintenance. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 133, 108340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2024.108340>
3. Li, Z., He, Q., & Li, J. (2024). A survey of deep learning-driven architecture for predictive maintenance. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 133(Part C), 108285. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2024.108285>
4. Ucar, A., Karakose, M., & Kırımça, N. (2024). Artificial intelligence for predictive maintenance applications: Key components, trustworthiness, and future trends. *Applied Sciences*, 14(2), 898. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app14020898>
5. Elkateb, S., Métwalli, A., Shendy, A., & Abu-Elanien, A. E. B. (2024). Machine learning and IoT-based predictive maintenance approach for industrial applications. *Alexandria Engineering Journal*, 88, 298–309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2023.12.065>
6. Dehghan Shoorkand, H., Nourelfath, M., & Hajji, A. (2024). A hybrid deep learning approach to integrate predictive maintenance and production planning for multi-state systems. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 74, 397–410. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2024.04.005>

7. Ren, J., Zhang, J., Wang, J., & Zhao, X. (2024). Recursive signal denoising method for predictive maintenance of equipment by using deep learning based temporal masking. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 188, 109921. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2024.109921>
8. Elattar, H. M., El-Brawany, M., Elminir, H. K., Ibrahim, D. A., & Ramadan, E. A. E.-H. (2023). Artificial intelligence-based data-driven prognostics in industry: A survey. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 184, 109605. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2023.109605>
9. Chen, C., Fu, H., Zheng, Y., Tao, F., & Liu, Y. (2023). The advance of digital twin for predictive maintenance: The role and function of machine learning. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 71, 581–594. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2023.10.010>
10. Taşcı, B., Omar, A., & Ayvaz, S. (2023). Remaining useful lifetime prediction for predictive maintenance in manufacturing. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 184, 109566. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2023.109566>
11. Qiu, S., Cui, X., Ping, Z., Shan, N., Li, Z., Bao, X., & Xu, X. (2023). Deep learning techniques in intelligent fault diagnosis and prognosis for industrial systems: A review. *Sensors*, 23(3), 1305. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s23031305>
12. Ferreira, C., & Gonçalves, G. (2022). Remaining useful life prediction and challenges: A literature review on the use of machine learning methods. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 63, 550–562. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2022.05.010>
13. Ayvaz, S., & Alpay, K. (2021). Predictive maintenance system for production lines in manufacturing: A machine learning approach using IoT data in real-time. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 173, 114598. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2021.114598>
14. Çakır, M., Güvenç, M. A., & Mistikoglu, S. (2021). The experimental application of popular machine learning algorithms on predictive maintenance and the design of IIoT-based condition monitoring system. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 151, 106948. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2020.106948>
15. Pech, M., Vrchota, J., & Bednář, J. (2021). Predictive maintenance and intelligent sensors in smart factory: Review. *Sensors*, 21(4), 1470. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21041470>
16. Dalzochio, J., Kunst, R., Pignaton de Freitas, E., Binotto, A. P. D., Sanyal, S., Favilla, J., & Barbosa, J. (2020). Machine learning and reasoning for predictive maintenance in Industry 4.0: Current status and challenges. *Computers in Industry*, 123, 103298. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2020.103298>
17. Zonta, T., da Costa, C. A., da Rosa Righi, R., de Lima, M. J., da Trindade, E. S., & Li, G. P. (2020). Predictive maintenance in the Industry 4.0: A systematic literature review. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 150, 106889. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2020.106889>
18. Carvalho, T. P., Soares, F. A. A. M. N., Vita, R., Francisco, R. da P., Basto, J. P., & Alcalá, S. G. S. (2019). A systematic literature review of machine learning methods applied to predictive maintenance. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 137, 106024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2019.106024>
19. Zhang, W., Yang, D., & Wang, H. (2019). Data-driven methods for predictive maintenance of industrial equipment: A survey. *IEEE Systems Journal*, 13(3), 2213–2227. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JSYST.2019.2905565>
20. Lee, W. J., Wu, H., Yun, H., Kim, H., Jun, M. B. G., & Sutherland, J. W. (2019). Predictive maintenance of machine tool systems using artificial intelligence techniques applied to machine condition data. *Procedia CIRP*, 80, 506–511. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procir.2018.12.019>