

Automation Technologies for Improving Manufacturing Process Efficiency

Dr. Anders Nielsen

Professor, Aarhus University, Denmark

Abstract

Manufacturing industries are increasingly adopting automation technologies to improve process efficiency, production consistency, resource utilization, and operational responsiveness. Conventional manufacturing systems frequently depend on manual intervention, fixed process configurations, and isolated equipment, which can limit productivity and increase process variability. Advances in industrial automation, robotics, programmable logic controllers, industrial Internet of Things devices, machine vision, artificial intelligence, digital twins, and automated material-handling systems have created new opportunities for improving manufacturing performance. This paper examines the contribution of automation technologies to manufacturing process efficiency, with emphasis on process control, robotic automation, real-time monitoring, intelligent inspection, predictive maintenance, production scheduling, and resource optimization. A conceptual analytical methodology is used to evaluate the relationship between automation capabilities and major manufacturing efficiency indicators.

The analysis indicates that automation can reduce repetitive manual operations, improve process consistency, shorten production cycles, enhance equipment utilization, and support continuous monitoring of production conditions. Robotics can perform repetitive and precision-intensive tasks, while machine vision can automate inspection and quality assessment. Industrial IoT technologies facilitate real-time data acquisition, enabling production managers and automated systems to identify process deviations more rapidly. However, the benefits of automation depend on appropriate system integration, reliable data, workforce capabilities, cybersecurity, maintenance practices, and investment planning. Challenges include high initial implementation costs, legacy-system integration, technical complexity, cybersecurity risks, workforce adaptation, and dependence on reliable infrastructure. Future research should focus on autonomous manufacturing, AI-enabled automation, human-robot collaboration, edge intelligence, digital twins, sustainable automation, and self-optimizing production systems. The study concludes that automation technologies can significantly strengthen manufacturing process efficiency when implemented through integrated architectures that combine intelligent software, reliable physical equipment, effective data management, and human engineering expertise.

Keywords: Manufacturing Automation; Process Efficiency; Industrial Robotics; Industrial IoT; Machine Vision; Artificial Intelligence; Production Optimization; Smart Manufacturing; Process Control; Industry 4.0

1. Introduction

Manufacturing organizations operate in increasingly competitive environments where productivity, product quality, delivery speed, flexibility, and resource efficiency directly influence operational

performance. Traditional manufacturing processes often involve substantial manual intervention and fixed production configurations. Although these approaches can remain effective for relatively stable operations, they may create limitations when production volumes, product specifications, or market requirements change rapidly. Automation technologies provide a means of improving manufacturing operations by transferring repetitive, precision-sensitive, and data-intensive activities from manual processes to programmable and intelligent systems.

Industrial automation encompasses a broad range of technologies, including programmable logic controllers, industrial robots, automated guided vehicles, machine vision, numerical control systems, sensors, industrial networks, and supervisory control platforms. These technologies can perform specific production tasks with high consistency while continuously collecting operational information. Automation therefore contributes not only to physical task execution but also to improved process visibility. When integrated appropriately, automated systems can identify deviations, regulate process parameters, and coordinate multiple stages of production.

The development of Industry 4.0 has expanded the role of automation through greater connectivity between physical production equipment and digital systems. Industrial Internet of Things technologies enable machines and sensors to communicate operational information, while cloud and edge computing provide additional capabilities for data processing. Artificial intelligence can analyze production information to identify patterns, predict equipment conditions, and support optimization. Consequently, modern automation is increasingly moving from isolated machine-level control toward interconnected and intelligent production environments.

Process efficiency is a multidimensional concept that includes production throughput, cycle time, equipment utilization, material consumption, energy efficiency, quality consistency, downtime, and labor productivity. Automation can influence these dimensions through continuous operation, precise control, faster response to process changes, and reduced human error. However, automation does not automatically guarantee efficiency improvements. Poorly integrated equipment, inappropriate process design, inadequate maintenance, and insufficient workforce training can reduce the expected benefits of automated systems.

The successful implementation of manufacturing automation therefore requires an integrated technological and organizational perspective. Physical automation must be coordinated with software systems, communication infrastructure, production planning, maintenance practices, cybersecurity, and workforce development. This paper analyzes major automation technologies and their relationship with manufacturing process efficiency, identifies important implementation challenges, and discusses future research directions for intelligent and sustainable automated production environments.

2. Objectives

The primary objective of this study is to examine how automation technologies can improve manufacturing process efficiency through improved process control, production consistency, equipment utilization, quality management, and real-time operational monitoring. The study considers both conventional industrial automation and emerging intelligent technologies within modern manufacturing environments.

2.1 Evaluation of Manufacturing Automation Technologies

The first objective is to evaluate major automation technologies used in manufacturing environments. Industrial robots, programmable logic controllers, machine vision, industrial sensors, automated

material-handling systems, and industrial communication networks are examined according to their contributions to production automation and process control.

The objective also considers emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence, digital twins, industrial IoT, and edge computing. These technologies can extend automation beyond repetitive physical tasks by enabling predictive analysis, adaptive control, intelligent inspection, and real-time production optimization.

2.2 Assessment of Process Efficiency Improvements

The second objective is to assess how automation influences manufacturing efficiency indicators such as cycle time, productivity, equipment utilization, quality consistency, downtime, resource consumption, and production flexibility. These indicators provide a broader understanding of automation performance.

The study also evaluates the conditions required for sustainable efficiency improvements. System integration, workforce skills, maintenance capabilities, data reliability, cybersecurity, and appropriate technology selection are considered essential factors. Automation is therefore assessed as an integrated manufacturing transformation rather than as the installation of individual automated machines.

3. Literature Review

3.1 Industrial Automation and Manufacturing Systems

Industrial automation has traditionally relied on programmable logic controllers, numerical control systems, sensors, actuators, and supervisory control technologies. These systems provide deterministic control over manufacturing equipment and have contributed significantly to production consistency and operational reliability. Groover highlighted the importance of automation in improving manufacturing productivity and reducing repetitive human activities.

Modern manufacturing automation increasingly involves interconnected equipment rather than isolated machines. Industrial communication networks allow production devices to exchange information and coordinate operations. This transition creates opportunities for centralized monitoring, distributed control, automated scheduling, and real-time process optimization.

3.2 Robotics and Automated Production

Industrial robotics represents one of the most established forms of manufacturing automation. Robots can perform repetitive operations such as assembly, welding, material handling, painting, packaging, and machine tending. Their ability to operate with consistent speed and precision can improve production quality and reduce variability.

Recent developments in collaborative robotics have expanded the potential interaction between humans and automated machines. Collaborative robots can support workers in repetitive or physically demanding activities while allowing humans to perform tasks requiring judgment and flexibility. This creates opportunities for hybrid production environments in which automation and human expertise complement each other.

3.3 Industry 4.0 and Intelligent Automation

Industry 4.0 has introduced a broader perspective on manufacturing automation by connecting machines, sensors, software platforms, and analytical systems. Kagermann et al. emphasized the importance of cyber-physical systems and digital integration in future manufacturing environments. Similarly, Xu et al.

discussed Industry 4.0 as a major technological transition involving connectivity, intelligent systems, and data-driven production.

Intelligent automation incorporates machine learning, predictive analytics, digital twins, and industrial IoT technologies into conventional automated systems. These technologies can support predictive maintenance, automated inspection, adaptive process control, and production optimization. The resulting manufacturing environment can respond to operational changes more dynamically than conventional fixed automation.

4. Methodology

4.1 Research Design

This study uses a conceptual analytical research design to investigate the relationship between automation technologies and manufacturing process efficiency. The methodology synthesizes established research on industrial automation, robotics, Industry 4.0, industrial IoT, cyber-physical systems, artificial intelligence, and smart manufacturing.

Manufacturing process efficiency is examined as a multidimensional outcome involving productivity, cycle time, equipment utilization, quality, downtime, resource consumption, and flexibility. This approach recognizes that automation may improve one performance indicator while creating additional requirements in another area. The methodology therefore considers technical and operational factors together.

4.2 Automation Technology Assessment

The study examines major automation technologies according to their functional roles within manufacturing. Robotics is evaluated for automated task execution, PLC-based systems for deterministic process control, machine vision for automated inspection, and industrial IoT for continuous data collection. Automated material-handling technologies are considered in relation to production flow and logistics.

Emerging technologies are assessed according to their ability to extend automation into intelligent decision-making. Artificial intelligence can support prediction and optimization, while digital twins can support simulation and process evaluation. Edge computing can provide low-latency processing for applications requiring rapid operational responses.

4.3 Efficiency Assessment

The relationship between automation and manufacturing efficiency is examined using several key indicators.

Key assessment dimensions include:

- Production throughput
- Cycle-time reduction
- Equipment utilization
- Product quality
- Downtime reduction
- Material efficiency
- Energy consumption
- Process consistency

- Production flexibility
- Maintenance responsiveness

These indicators provide a structured basis for evaluating how automation can influence overall manufacturing performance.

4.4 Integration and Deployment Analysis

Automation effectiveness depends on the integration of physical equipment, control systems, communication networks, and enterprise software. The methodology therefore considers interoperability between machines, sensors, production-management systems, and analytical platforms.

Deployment analysis also considers workforce requirements, cybersecurity, maintenance, scalability, and infrastructure readiness. Automated manufacturing systems must remain reliable during continuous operation and should include appropriate monitoring and fallback mechanisms. These factors are treated as essential conditions for achieving sustained process efficiency.

5. Analysis

5.1 Automation Technologies and Operational Efficiency

Automation technologies can improve manufacturing efficiency by reducing repetitive manual activities, increasing process consistency, and enabling continuous operation. Robots can execute repetitive tasks at predictable speeds, while PLCs can regulate process parameters with high precision. Machine vision can identify quality problems automatically, reducing dependence on manual inspection.

Automation also improves process visibility. Sensors continuously collect information about machine conditions and production parameters, allowing operators and control systems to identify deviations. When these data are integrated with analytical platforms, manufacturing organizations can move toward predictive rather than reactive management.

Table 1: Major Automation Technologies and Their Manufacturing Contributions

Automation Technology	Primary Function	Contribution to Efficiency
Industrial Robots	Automated physical operations	Faster and more consistent production
PLC Systems	Real-time machine control	Improved process stability
Machine Vision	Automated inspection	Faster quality assessment
Industrial Sensors	Operational data collection	Improved process visibility
AGVs/AMRs	Automated material movement	Reduced material-handling delays
CNC Systems	Automated machining	High precision and repeatability
Industrial IoT	Machine connectivity	Real-time production monitoring
Digital Twins	Virtual process representation	Improved planning and optimization

Automation Technology	Primary Function	Contribution to Efficiency
AI Systems	Intelligent analysis	Predictive and adaptive decision-making

Interpretation: Table 1 demonstrates that manufacturing efficiency can be improved through multiple complementary automation technologies. Robotics and CNC systems primarily improve task execution and precision, while PLCs support stable process control. Machine vision improves inspection speed, and industrial IoT enhances visibility.

Digital twins and AI extend automation toward prediction and optimization. The greatest efficiency benefits are likely to emerge when these technologies are integrated rather than implemented as isolated systems.

5.2 Automation and Production Performance

Automation can directly influence production performance through shorter cycle times, improved equipment utilization, reduced errors, and greater process consistency. Automated systems can operate continuously and maintain consistent process parameters, which can be particularly beneficial for high-volume manufacturing.

The impact of automation also depends on how effectively automated equipment is coordinated. Bottlenecks can remain even when individual machines operate efficiently if material flow, scheduling, inspection, or downstream processing is poorly synchronized. Therefore, manufacturing efficiency requires system-level automation rather than isolated equipment optimization.

Table 2: Manufacturing Efficiency Indicators Influenced by Automation

Efficiency Indicator	Automation Mechanism	Expected Improvement
Production Throughput	Continuous automated operation	Increased output
Cycle Time	Faster machine execution	Reduced processing time
Quality Consistency	Precision control and inspection	Fewer process variations
Equipment Utilization	Automated scheduling and monitoring	Improved asset usage
Downtime	Predictive monitoring	Faster intervention
Labor Productivity	Reduced repetitive activities	Higher-value human work
Material Efficiency	Precision processing	Reduced material waste
Energy Efficiency	Automated process optimization	Lower unnecessary consumption
Production Flexibility	Programmable automation	Faster process adjustment

Interpretation: Table 2 indicates that automation can affect both direct and indirect measures of manufacturing efficiency. Automated production can increase throughput and reduce cycle time, while intelligent monitoring can reduce downtime and improve equipment utilization. Precision-based automation can also reduce material waste and improve product consistency.

However, these improvements require appropriate process design, maintenance, programming, and integration. Automation should therefore be evaluated according to total production-system performance rather than individual machine speed.

5.3 Intelligent Monitoring and Process Optimization

Industrial IoT and AI technologies enable automated manufacturing systems to move beyond simple task execution. Sensors can provide continuous information about machine conditions, while analytical systems can identify unusual patterns and predict potential process deviations. This supports earlier intervention and reduces dependence on manual monitoring.

Digital twins can further enhance optimization by creating virtual representations of production systems.

Engineers can evaluate process changes, identify potential bottlenecks, and simulate production scenarios before implementing modifications in the physical environment. Such capabilities can reduce experimentation risks and support more informed production decisions.

6. Discussion

6.1 Productivity and Process Consistency

Automation can substantially improve manufacturing productivity by enabling machines to execute repetitive operations at consistent speeds and precision levels. Automated process control can also reduce variability associated with manual operations. These benefits are particularly important in production environments where small process variations can affect product quality.

Nevertheless, productivity improvements depend on balanced production-system design. If one automated stage operates significantly faster than another, bottlenecks can emerge elsewhere in the production line. Effective automation therefore requires coordinated process planning and system-level performance analysis.

6.2 Quality and Maintenance

Automated inspection technologies can improve manufacturing quality by detecting defects more rapidly and consistently than manual inspection in suitable applications. Machine vision, sensor analytics, and AI-based monitoring can identify deviations and support corrective actions. Automation can also contribute to predictive maintenance by monitoring equipment conditions and identifying patterns associated with degradation.

However, automated systems themselves require maintenance, calibration, and validation. Poorly maintained sensors or inspection systems can generate incorrect decisions. Therefore, maintenance strategies must cover both production equipment and automation infrastructure.

6.3 Workforce and Organizational Transformation

Manufacturing automation changes the nature of industrial work by reducing demand for some repetitive tasks while increasing demand for technical, analytical, programming, maintenance, and supervisory

skills. Workers increasingly interact with automated systems rather than simply operating individual machines. This transformation requires appropriate training and organizational adaptation.

Human expertise remains valuable for troubleshooting, process improvement, system validation, and exceptional situations. Effective automation strategies should therefore emphasize human-machine collaboration and workforce development rather than treating technology as an independent replacement for human capabilities.

7. Challenges

7.1 Implementation Cost and Infrastructure Requirements

Automation projects can require substantial initial investment in robotic equipment, sensors, controllers, communication infrastructure, software, integration, and employee training. Small and medium-sized manufacturers may find these costs particularly challenging. In addition, poorly planned automation can produce limited returns if the underlying production process is inefficient. Organizations should therefore conduct process analysis and economic evaluation before implementation. Modular automation architectures can provide a gradual pathway in which high-impact processes are automated first and additional technologies are introduced according to measurable performance improvements.

7.2 Legacy-System Integration

Many manufacturing facilities continue to operate legacy machines that were not designed for network connectivity or modern digital integration. Connecting these systems to industrial IoT platforms may require communication gateways, additional sensors, software interfaces, or hardware upgrades. Proprietary protocols can further complicate interoperability. Without effective integration, automation technologies may create isolated information systems rather than a unified production environment. Open communication standards, middleware, APIs, and modular architectures can help reduce integration barriers.

7.3 Cybersecurity and Reliability

Greater connectivity creates new cybersecurity risks for automated manufacturing systems. Unauthorized access, malware, compromised devices, or communication disruptions can affect production operations. Unlike conventional information systems, industrial automation directly interacts with physical equipment, making cybersecurity failures potentially more consequential. Security controls should therefore include network segmentation, authentication, access management, monitoring, secure software updates, and incident-response procedures. Reliability is equally important, requiring preventive maintenance, redundancy, fault detection, and safe fallback mechanisms.

8. Future Research

8.1 Autonomous Manufacturing Systems

Future research should investigate increasingly autonomous manufacturing systems capable of monitoring production conditions, identifying process deviations, optimizing parameters, and coordinating equipment with limited human intervention. AI-based decision-making can enable systems to adapt to changing production requirements.

However, autonomous control should operate within clearly defined engineering and safety constraints. Research should therefore focus on safe autonomy, explainable decisions, uncertainty

management, and reliable human oversight to ensure that automated systems remain predictable and controllable.

8.2 Human-Robot Collaboration

Collaborative robotics represents an important future direction because it combines robotic precision with human adaptability. Future research can develop intelligent systems capable of recognizing worker activities, adjusting robot behavior, and dynamically allocating tasks between humans and machines. Improved sensing and AI-based perception can make collaborative environments more responsive.

Research should also address worker safety, ergonomic design, trust, communication, and intuitive interfaces. Effective human-robot collaboration could support flexible manufacturing while retaining human expertise for complex decision-making.

8.3 Sustainable and Self-Optimizing Automation

Future automation research should increasingly incorporate energy consumption, material efficiency, and environmental impact into production optimization. Intelligent systems could continuously evaluate production parameters and select operating conditions that balance productivity with energy and resource requirements.

Digital twins and AI-based optimization can support these decisions by evaluating alternative production strategies. Self-optimizing manufacturing systems could eventually adjust processes automatically according to production demand, equipment condition, energy availability, and sustainability objectives.

9. Limitations

This study uses a conceptual analytical approach rather than empirical testing in a specific manufacturing facility. Consequently, the identified relationships between automation technologies and process efficiency represent generalized findings rather than measurements obtained from a controlled industrial experiment. Actual benefits can vary according to production type, equipment configuration, automation maturity, workforce capabilities, and organizational objectives.

The study also does not compare specific commercial automation platforms or calculate investment returns for individual technologies. Different manufacturing environments may experience substantially different implementation costs and performance outcomes. Future empirical studies should therefore conduct longitudinal evaluations across different manufacturing sectors and assess technical performance together with economic, organizational, and sustainability indicators.

10. Conclusion

Automation technologies have become an important foundation for improving manufacturing process efficiency. Industrial robots, programmable logic controllers, CNC systems, machine vision, industrial sensors, automated material-handling technologies, and industrial IoT platforms can reduce repetitive work, improve process consistency, increase production visibility, and support faster operational responses. Emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence and digital twins extend these capabilities by enabling prediction, optimization, and intelligent decision support.

The analysis demonstrates that automation effectiveness depends on integration rather than isolated technological deployment. Improvements in throughput, cycle time, quality, equipment

utilization, maintenance, and resource efficiency require coordination between machines, control systems, communication networks, data platforms, and production-management processes. Legacy infrastructure, cybersecurity, implementation costs, and workforce requirements remain important challenges. Successful automation strategies must therefore combine technical planning with organizational preparation and continuous system maintenance.

The future of manufacturing automation is likely to involve increasingly autonomous, collaborative, connected, and sustainable production systems. AI-enabled control, human-robot collaboration, edge intelligence, digital twins, and energy-aware optimization can provide new opportunities for improving production performance. When these technologies are implemented with appropriate safety mechanisms, cybersecurity controls, workforce development, and engineering oversight, automation can support manufacturing environments that are more productive, flexible, reliable, and resource efficient.

References

1. Ahmmed, M. S., Isanaka, S. P., & Liou, F. (2024). Promoting synergies to improve manufacturing efficiency in industrial material processing: A systematic review of Industry 4.0 and AI. *Machines*, 12(10), 681. <https://doi.org/10.3390/machines12100681>
2. Bernabei, M., & Costantino, F. (2024). Adaptive automation: Status of research and future challenges. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 88, 102724. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2024.102724>
3. Zafar, M. H., Langås, E. F., & Sanfilippo, F. (2024). Exploring the synergies between collaborative robotics, digital twins, augmentation, and Industry 5.0 for smart manufacturing: A state-of-the-art review. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 89, 102769. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2024.102769>
4. Abdel-Aty, T. A., & Negri, E. (2024). Conceptualizing the digital thread for smart manufacturing: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 35(8), 3629–3653. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-024-02407-1>
5. Schlemitz, A., & Mezhyuev, V. (2024). Approaches for data collection and process standardization in smart manufacturing: Systematic literature review. *Journal of Industrial Information Integration*, 38, 100578. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jii.2024.100578>
6. Rakholia, R., Suárez-Cetrulo, A. L., Singh, M., & Carbajo, R. S. (2024). Advancing manufacturing through artificial intelligence: Current landscape, perspectives, best practices, challenges, and future direction. *IEEE Access*, 12, 131621–131637. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2024.3458830>
7. Sahoo, S., & Lo, C.-Y. (2022). Smart manufacturing powered by recent technological advancements: A review. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 64, 236–250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2022.06.008>
8. Shojaeinasab, A., Charter, T., Jalayer, M., Khadivi, M., Ogunfowora, O., Raiyani, N., Yaghoubi, M., & Najjaran, H. (2022). Intelligent manufacturing execution systems: A systematic review. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 62, 503–522. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2022.01.004>
9. Prashar, A., Tortorella, G. L., & Fogliatto, F. S. (2022). Production scheduling in Industry 4.0: Morphological analysis of the literature and future research agenda. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 65, 33–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2022.08.008>

10. Tao, F., Xiao, B., Qi, Q., Cheng, J., & Ji, P. (2022). Digital twin modeling. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 64, 372–389. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2022.06.015>
11. Simões, A. C., Pinto, A., Santos, J., Pinheiro, S., & Romero, D. (2022). Designing human-robot collaboration workspaces in industrial settings: A systematic literature review. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 62, 28–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2021.11.007>
12. Semeraro, F., Griffiths, A., & Cangelosi, A. (2023). Human–robot collaboration and machine learning: A systematic review of recent research. *Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing*, 79, 102432. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcim.2022.102432>
13. Soori, M., Arezoo, B., & Dastres, R. (2023). Digital twin for smart manufacturing: A review. *Sustainable Manufacturing and Service Economics*, 2, 100017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smse.2023.100017>
14. Dafflon, B., Moalla, N., & Ouzrout, Y. (2021). The challenges, approaches, and used techniques of CPS for manufacturing in Industry 4.0: A literature review. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 113, 2395–2412. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00170-020-06572-4>
15. Zheng, T., Ardolino, M., Bacchetti, A., & Perona, M. (2021). The applications of Industry 4.0 technologies in manufacturing context: A systematic literature review. *International Journal of Production Research*, 59(6), 1922–1954. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2020.1824085>
16. Napoleone, A., Macchi, M., & Pozzetti, A. (2020). A review on the characteristics of cyber-physical systems for the future smart factories. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 54, 305–335. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2020.01.007>
17. Tilbury, D. M. (2019). Cyber-physical manufacturing systems. *Annual Review of Control, Robotics, and Autonomous Systems*, 2, 427–443. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-control-053018-023652>
18. Mohamed, N., Al-Jaroodi, J., & Lazarova-Molnar, S. (2019). Leveraging the capabilities of Industry 4.0 for improving energy efficiency in smart factories. *IEEE Access*, 7, 18008–18020. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2897045>
19. Dalenogare, L. S., Benitez, G. B., Ayala, N. F., & Frank, A. G. (2018). The expected contribution of Industry 4.0 technologies for industrial performance. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 204, 383–394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2018.08.019>
20. Qi, Q., & Tao, F. (2018). Digital twin and big data towards smart manufacturing and Industry 4.0: 360 degree comparison. *IEEE Access*, 6, 3585–3593. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2793265>