

AI-Based Structural Health Monitoring for Modern Infrastructure

Dr. Lucas Meijer

Associate Professor, University of Twente, Netherlands

Abstract

Structural health monitoring (SHM) has become an essential component of modern infrastructure management because aging structures, increasing environmental loads, traffic growth, and complex operating conditions require continuous assessment of structural performance. Conventional inspection practices frequently depend on periodic visual examinations and manually interpreted measurements, which may be costly, time-consuming, and inadequate for identifying rapidly developing structural anomalies. Artificial intelligence (AI) provides new opportunities to improve SHM through automated sensing, pattern recognition, predictive analytics, anomaly detection, and intelligent decision support. This paper examines AI-based approaches for structural health monitoring across modern infrastructure systems, including bridges, buildings, tunnels, transportation facilities, and other critical structures. The study reviews the integration of machine learning, deep learning, sensor networks, computer vision, and data-driven predictive models within SHM processes.

A conceptual analytical framework is developed to examine how AI can support data acquisition, structural condition assessment, damage identification, performance prediction, and maintenance decision-making. The analysis emphasizes the relationship between sensor quality, data processing, model accuracy, and practical monitoring outcomes. The findings indicate that AI-enabled SHM can improve early damage detection, reduce dependence on manual inspections, support predictive maintenance, and enhance infrastructure resilience. However, implementation remains constrained by limited high-quality structural datasets, sensor reliability, environmental variability, model interpretability, computational requirements, and cybersecurity concerns. Future development should therefore emphasize explainable AI, multimodal sensing, digital twins, edge intelligence, transfer learning, and standardized evaluation frameworks. AI-based SHM represents a promising pathway toward more proactive, efficient, and resilient infrastructure management when intelligent algorithms are integrated with engineering knowledge and reliable monitoring systems.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Structural Health Monitoring, Machine Learning, Infrastructure, Damage Detection, Predictive Maintenance, Sensor Networks, Structural Engineering

1. Introduction

Modern infrastructure systems are exposed to continuous mechanical, environmental, and operational stresses that can gradually reduce structural performance. Bridges, buildings, tunnels, dams, transportation facilities, and industrial structures experience deterioration caused by fatigue, corrosion, material aging, excessive loading, temperature variation, moisture, seismic activity, and other environmental influences. Traditional infrastructure management generally relies on scheduled

inspections and engineering assessments to identify visible or measurable deterioration. Although these approaches remain important, increasing infrastructure complexity and the growing scale of monitoring requirements have created a need for more continuous and intelligent assessment techniques.

Structural health monitoring provides a systematic approach for observing structural behavior through sensors, measurements, data-processing systems, and engineering interpretation. Conventional SHM systems can collect information such as acceleration, strain, displacement, vibration, temperature, and acoustic response. However, large-scale monitoring generates substantial quantities of heterogeneous data that may be difficult to interpret using conventional analytical procedures alone. Artificial intelligence offers capabilities for extracting patterns from complex datasets and identifying relationships that may not be readily apparent through manual analysis. Machine learning and deep learning methods can therefore complement conventional engineering approaches by enabling automated condition assessment and predictive analysis.

AI-based SHM combines sensing technologies with computational intelligence to improve the detection and interpretation of structural abnormalities. Supervised learning models can classify known damage conditions, while unsupervised and semi-supervised approaches can identify unusual structural behavior without requiring extensive labeled datasets. Deep learning techniques can additionally process complex signals and images, enabling applications such as crack recognition, corrosion assessment, vibration classification, and structural response prediction. The integration of these methods provides opportunities for transforming SHM from periodic inspection toward continuous and predictive infrastructure management.

Another important development is the convergence of AI with Internet of Things technologies, wireless sensor networks, cloud computing, edge computing, and digital twins. These technologies allow structural data to be collected and processed at different computational levels. Edge-based systems can support rapid anomaly detection close to the sensing environment, while cloud platforms can provide large-scale historical analysis and model training. Digital twin environments can further connect physical structures with computational representations, allowing AI models to evaluate changing structural conditions and support maintenance planning.

Despite its potential, AI-based SHM presents significant technical and practical challenges. Infrastructure data are often influenced by environmental and operational variability, which can produce changes in measured responses without indicating actual structural damage. AI models may also require extensive training data and may produce predictions that are difficult for engineers to interpret. Sensor failures, communication disruptions, cybersecurity threats, computational requirements, and inconsistent validation procedures can further limit deployment. Therefore, effective AI-based SHM requires an integrated approach that combines artificial intelligence with structural engineering principles, reliable sensing infrastructure, and appropriate validation mechanisms.

2. Objectives

2.1 Evaluation of AI-Based Structural Monitoring Approaches

The first objective is to examine how artificial intelligence techniques can improve structural health monitoring across modern infrastructure systems. Particular attention is given to machine learning, deep learning, computer vision, anomaly detection, and predictive modeling approaches. The objective also considers how these technologies can process structural measurements and identify changes associated with damage, deterioration, or abnormal structural behavior.

2.2 Assessment of Intelligent Infrastructure Management

The second objective is to assess the contribution of AI-based monitoring toward predictive maintenance, infrastructure resilience, and engineering decision-making. The study evaluates how intelligent SHM systems can transform continuously collected structural information into useful condition assessments and maintenance recommendations while considering practical issues such as data quality, interpretability, sensor reliability, scalability, and deployment requirements.

3. Review of Literature

3.1 Artificial Intelligence in Structural Health Monitoring

AI has increasingly been applied to SHM because structural systems generate large datasets containing information about dynamic responses, material behavior, environmental conditions, and operational loads. Machine learning algorithms can establish relationships between structural measurements and known condition states. Classification algorithms can support damage identification, while regression approaches can estimate structural parameters or predict future responses. Unsupervised learning is particularly useful when labeled damage data are unavailable, enabling models to identify deviations from established patterns of normal structural behavior.

Deep learning has further expanded the analytical capabilities of SHM. Neural networks can automatically learn complex representations from sensor signals, vibration measurements, and structural images. Convolutional neural networks have been particularly useful for image-based crack and defect detection, whereas recurrent and sequence-based models can process time-dependent structural responses. These approaches reduce the dependence on manually engineered features and can support automated monitoring across large infrastructure assets.

3.2 Sensor Networks and Intelligent Data Acquisition

Sensor technologies constitute the foundation of structural health monitoring because AI models depend on reliable and representative measurements. Accelerometers, strain gauges, displacement sensors, fiber-optic sensors, acoustic emission devices, cameras, and environmental sensors can capture different aspects of structural performance. Wireless sensor networks have increased monitoring flexibility by reducing cabling requirements and supporting distributed measurement architectures.

The integration of IoT-enabled sensing with AI allows structural information to be transmitted continuously to analytical platforms. Sensor fusion can combine measurements from multiple sensing modalities to produce more comprehensive representations of structural conditions. However, intelligent data acquisition must address sensor calibration, missing observations, synchronization, energy consumption, communication reliability, and measurement noise. AI models that receive unreliable data can produce inaccurate structural assessments, making data-quality management an essential component of intelligent SHM.

3.3 Computer Vision and Automated Damage Detection

Computer vision represents an important AI application in structural inspection. Cameras and imaging devices can collect visual information from bridge decks, building facades, tunnel surfaces, concrete components, and other infrastructure elements. Deep learning models can analyze these images to identify cracks, spalling, corrosion indicators, surface degradation, and other visible defects. Automated

image analysis can reduce the time required for large-scale visual inspections and provide consistent digital records of observed conditions.

The effectiveness of computer vision depends on image quality, lighting conditions, camera positioning, surface characteristics, and training data diversity. Models developed under controlled conditions may perform poorly when deployed in different environments. Consequently, robust computer-vision SHM requires representative datasets covering variations in weather, illumination, structural materials, defect sizes, and inspection distances. Combining visual information with vibration, strain, and environmental data can further improve the reliability of automated damage assessment.

3.4 Predictive Analytics and Digital Infrastructure Management

AI-based SHM is increasingly shifting from damage detection toward prediction. Predictive models can analyze historical structural responses and estimate future deterioration, abnormal behavior, or maintenance requirements. Such models can support condition-based maintenance by helping infrastructure managers prioritize assets according to estimated risk and structural condition.

Digital twin technologies provide an additional pathway for integrating AI into infrastructure management. A digital twin can represent the condition and behavior of a physical structure through continuously updated digital information. AI algorithms can analyze incoming sensor measurements and compare observed responses with expected structural behavior. This integration creates opportunities for real-time monitoring, predictive simulation, maintenance planning, and lifecycle management. Nevertheless, digital twin implementation requires interoperable data architectures, accurate structural models, continuous data streams, and effective computational infrastructure.

4. Methodology

4.1 Research Design

This study adopts a conceptual and analytical research design to examine the application of AI technologies in structural health monitoring. The methodology synthesizes established research on machine learning, deep learning, sensor-based monitoring, computer vision, predictive analytics, and intelligent infrastructure management. The approach focuses on identifying relationships between AI capabilities and major SHM functions, including data acquisition, feature extraction, anomaly detection, damage classification, condition prediction, and maintenance decision support.

The research framework considers modern infrastructure as a data-intensive engineering environment in which structural behavior is continuously influenced by physical, environmental, and operational variables. AI-based monitoring is evaluated according to its potential contribution to detection accuracy, monitoring efficiency, predictive capability, scalability, and decision support. Engineering principles are incorporated into the conceptual assessment to ensure that AI is considered as a complementary technology rather than a replacement for structural engineering judgment.

4.2 AI and Data Processing Framework

The proposed framework considers the SHM process as a sequence of interconnected stages. Sensor systems generate structural measurements, after which data preprocessing removes noise and addresses incomplete observations. Feature extraction and representation learning then identify relevant characteristics from the collected data. AI models analyze these characteristics to detect anomalies, classify potential damage, or predict future structural conditions.

The analytical workflow is designed around the following key points:

- **Data acquisition:** Collection of vibration, strain, displacement, image, acoustic, and environmental measurements.
- **Data preprocessing:** Noise reduction, synchronization, normalization, missing-data treatment, and quality assessment.
- **Feature extraction:** Identification of statistically, physically, or automatically learned structural characteristics.
- **AI modeling:** Application of machine learning, deep learning, anomaly detection, or predictive models.
- **Condition assessment:** Classification of structural states and identification of potential deterioration.
- **Decision support:** Generation of information for inspection prioritization, maintenance planning, and risk management.
- **Continuous learning:** Updating models as additional structural and environmental data become available.

4.3 Analytical Evaluation

The analytical evaluation compares major AI-based SHM capabilities according to their practical contribution to infrastructure monitoring. Damage detection, anomaly recognition, prediction, automation, and scalability are considered major performance dimensions. The assessment also recognizes that model performance cannot be separated from sensor reliability, dataset quality, environmental conditions, and engineering validation.

A systems perspective is therefore adopted in which the monitoring platform is evaluated as an integrated combination of sensing, communication, computational intelligence, and engineering decision-making. This approach helps identify both the benefits and limitations of AI-based SHM and provides a basis for discussing future improvements. The methodology does not assume that one AI technique is universally optimal; instead, model selection is considered dependent on infrastructure type, monitoring objective, data availability, and operational environment.

5. Analysis

5.1 AI Techniques for Structural Condition Assessment

Different AI techniques provide different capabilities for structural health monitoring. Supervised machine learning can perform classification when sufficient labeled structural conditions are available. Unsupervised algorithms are useful for identifying deviations from normal behavior when damage labels are limited.

Table 1: AI Techniques and Their Applications in Structural Health Monitoring

AI Technique	Primary SHM Application	Major Strength	Key Requirement
Support Vector Machines	Damage classification	Effective with limited datasets	Representative training data

AI Technique	Primary SHM Application	Major Strength	Key Requirement
Random Forest	Condition classification	Robust and interpretable	Quality features
Neural Networks	Structural response prediction	Nonlinear modeling capability	Adequate training data
Convolutional Neural Networks	Crack and defect detection	Strong image recognition	High-quality images
Autoencoders	Anomaly detection	Useful without extensive labels	Reliable normal-state data
Recurrent Neural Networks	Time-series monitoring	Captures temporal patterns	Continuous sequential data
Ensemble Models	Multi-condition assessment	Improved predictive robustness	Model integration
Deep Hybrid Models	Complex SHM analytics	Handles multimodal information	Large computational resources

Interpretation: The table demonstrates that AI techniques serve complementary SHM functions rather than providing a single universal solution. Image-oriented models are appropriate for visible defects, whereas time-series and anomaly-detection models are better suited to dynamic structural behavior.

Simpler machine learning approaches can remain valuable when datasets are relatively small or engineering interpretability is important. Consequently, effective SHM architectures should select AI methods according to structural characteristics, available data, monitoring objectives, and computational requirements.

5.2 Integrated AI-SHM Performance Framework

AI-based SHM can improve infrastructure management by connecting continuous structural observations with automated analytical processes. Instead of relying exclusively on periodic inspections, intelligent systems can identify abnormal patterns between inspection cycles.

This capability can support early intervention and allow maintenance resources to be prioritized according to condition and risk.

Table 2: Functional Contributions of AI-Based Structural Health Monitoring

SHM Function	Conventional Approach	AI-Enabled Approach	Expected Contribution
Data Interpretation	Manual analysis	Automated pattern recognition	Faster assessment

SHM Function	Conventional Approach	AI-Enabled Approach	Expected Contribution
Damage Detection	Periodic inspection	Continuous anomaly analysis	Earlier identification
Visual Inspection	Human observation	Computer vision	Increased automation
Condition Prediction	Engineering estimation	Predictive learning models	Improved forecasting
Maintenance Planning	Schedule-based	Condition-based	Better resource allocation
Large-Scale Monitoring	Limited sampling	Distributed intelligent sensing	Greater scalability
Decision Support	Expert-dependent	Data-driven recommendations	Improved consistency
Infrastructure Resilience	Reactive response	Predictive monitoring	More proactive management

Interpretation: AI-based SHM extends conventional infrastructure monitoring by introducing continuous data analysis and predictive capabilities. The greatest contribution is not simply automation but the transformation of raw structural measurements into actionable condition information.

When appropriately validated, AI systems can help engineers identify emerging anomalies, prioritize inspections, and support condition-based maintenance. However, these benefits depend on reliable sensing, appropriate model validation, and continued engineering oversight.

6. Discussion

6.1 Improving Early Damage Detection

One of the most important advantages of AI-based SHM is its ability to detect subtle changes in structural behavior that may precede visually observable damage. Machine learning models can analyze vibration, strain, displacement, acoustic, and imaging information to identify deviations from established structural patterns. Early detection provides infrastructure managers with additional time to investigate abnormalities before they develop into more serious failures.

AI-based detection should nevertheless be treated as an engineering support mechanism rather than an independent authority. Environmental and operational changes can produce signals that resemble structural deterioration. Temperature fluctuations, traffic variations, wind conditions, and temporary loading can significantly influence measured responses. Therefore, AI systems should incorporate contextual variables and engineering constraints to distinguish genuine structural changes from normal environmental variability.

6.2 Supporting Predictive Maintenance

Traditional maintenance programs frequently depend on fixed schedules, which may result in unnecessary interventions for structures in good condition or delayed responses to unexpected deterioration. AI-based SHM supports a more condition-oriented approach by continuously analyzing structural measurements and estimating potential future changes. Predictive maintenance can improve resource allocation by directing inspection and repair efforts toward components exhibiting elevated risk.

The effectiveness of predictive maintenance depends on the quality of historical observations and the ability of AI models to generalize beyond training conditions. Infrastructure deterioration is influenced by complex combinations of material properties, loading conditions, environmental exposure, and previous interventions. Models should therefore be regularly evaluated and updated as new information becomes available. Combining AI predictions with engineering assessment can provide a more reliable basis for maintenance decisions.

6.3 Integration with Intelligent Infrastructure Systems

The convergence of AI-SHM with IoT, edge computing, cloud platforms, and digital twins can create integrated infrastructure intelligence systems. Edge computing can perform preliminary processing near sensors, reducing communication delays and bandwidth requirements. Cloud systems can support large-scale historical analysis and model development, while digital twins can provide a dynamic representation of structural condition.

Such integration also introduces new requirements for interoperability, cybersecurity, data governance, and system reliability. Infrastructure monitoring systems may become increasingly dependent on communication networks and computational platforms, creating potential vulnerabilities if these systems are not properly protected. Future intelligent SHM architectures should therefore consider structural reliability and digital security together rather than treating them as independent concerns.

7. Challenges

AI-based SHM faces significant challenges associated with data availability and quality. Many infrastructure systems do not possess sufficiently large datasets containing clearly labeled examples of structural damage. Severe damage events are relatively rare, while most monitoring data represent normal or near-normal operating conditions. This imbalance can make supervised learning difficult and increase the risk of biased models. Sensor drift, missing observations, noise, and inconsistent sampling can further reduce the reliability of AI predictions.

Environmental and operational variability represents another major challenge. Structural measurements can change because of temperature, humidity, traffic, wind, vibration, and other external influences without indicating physical deterioration. AI models trained under limited conditions may therefore generate false alarms when exposed to unfamiliar environments. Transferability between structures is also difficult because infrastructure assets differ in design, materials, geometry, age, loading conditions, and environmental exposure.

Interpretability and trust remain important barriers to deployment. Some advanced deep learning models operate as complex computational systems whose predictions are difficult to explain to structural engineers and infrastructure authorities. Safety-critical applications require confidence that model outputs can be traced to meaningful structural indicators. Cybersecurity, privacy of infrastructure data,

computational cost, sensor maintenance, and long-term system reliability further complicate large-scale implementation.

8. Future Research

8.1 Explainable and Physics-Informed AI

Future research should prioritize explainable AI methods capable of communicating why a structural anomaly has been detected and which measurements contributed to the prediction. This capability would improve engineering confidence and facilitate human verification.

Physics-informed AI represents another promising direction because structural mechanics principles can be incorporated into data-driven models. Combining physical constraints with machine learning may improve generalization, reduce unrealistic predictions, and decrease dependence on extremely large datasets.

8.2 Multimodal Sensing and Digital Twins

Future AI-SHM systems are likely to integrate multiple sensing modalities, including vibration, strain, acoustic measurements, images, environmental observations, and operational information. Multimodal learning can provide a more comprehensive understanding of structural condition than individual sensor types.

Integration with digital twins could enable continuous synchronization between physical infrastructure and computational models, allowing AI systems to evaluate current condition, simulate possible deterioration scenarios, and support lifecycle decision-making.

8.3 Edge Intelligence and Autonomous Monitoring

Edge AI offers opportunities to process structural information close to the sensing location. Local processing can reduce communication requirements and support rapid anomaly detection, particularly for infrastructure located in remote or communication-constrained environments.

Future systems may increasingly incorporate adaptive models that learn from changing structural conditions while maintaining strict validation controls. Autonomous monitoring platforms could eventually support continuous assessment, automated inspection scheduling, and intelligent maintenance recommendations while retaining human engineering oversight.

9. Limitations

This study is primarily conceptual and analytical and does not present results from a newly constructed field experiment or long-term infrastructure monitoring campaign. Consequently, the findings describe the potential and methodological implications of AI-based SHM rather than demonstrating performance for one specific infrastructure asset. Actual AI accuracy can vary substantially according to sensor configuration, structural characteristics, environmental conditions, data availability, and model selection.

Another limitation concerns the diversity of infrastructure systems. Bridges, buildings, tunnels, dams, and industrial facilities exhibit different structural behaviors and deterioration mechanisms. A monitoring approach that performs effectively for one asset class may not achieve equivalent results elsewhere. Therefore, broader validation using standardized datasets, multi-site deployments, and long-term field observations is required before generalized performance claims can be established.

10. Conclusion

AI-based structural health monitoring represents an important technological development for modern infrastructure management. By integrating machine learning, deep learning, computer vision, intelligent sensing, and predictive analytics, SHM systems can move beyond periodic inspection toward continuous and data-driven condition assessment. AI methods can identify abnormal structural patterns, automate visual defect detection, estimate future conditions, and support maintenance prioritization. These capabilities can improve monitoring efficiency and contribute to safer and more resilient infrastructure systems.

The analysis demonstrates that AI techniques should be selected according to the specific monitoring objective and available data. Supervised learning can support known damage classification, unsupervised methods can identify deviations from normal behavior, deep learning can process complex signals and images, and predictive models can support maintenance planning. However, AI performance remains strongly dependent on sensor reliability, representative datasets, environmental contextualization, and appropriate engineering validation. AI should therefore complement rather than replace structural engineering expertise.

Future AI-based SHM systems are expected to become increasingly integrated with IoT sensing, edge computing, digital twins, multimodal data fusion, and explainable artificial intelligence. These developments can support more autonomous and predictive infrastructure management while improving the speed and quality of engineering decisions. Successful implementation will require not only advanced algorithms but also robust data architectures, cybersecurity measures, standardized validation procedures, and interdisciplinary collaboration. With these foundations, AI-based SHM can contribute significantly to the long-term safety, reliability, sustainability, and resilience of modern infrastructure.

References

1. Spencer, B. F., Sim, S. H., Kim, R. E., & Yoon, H. (2025). Advances in artificial intelligence for structural health monitoring: A comprehensive review. *KSCE Journal of Civil Engineering*, 29(3), Article 100203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kscej.2025.100203>
2. Cha, Y.-J., Ali, R., Lewis, J., & Büyüköztürk, O. (2024). Deep learning-based structural health monitoring. *Automation in Construction*, 161, 105328. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2024.105328>
3. Di Mucci, V. M., Cardellicchio, A., Ruggieri, S., Nettis, A., Renò, V., & Uva, G. (2024). Artificial intelligence in structural health management of existing bridges. *Automation in Construction*, 167, 105719. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2024.105719>
4. Sun, Z., Jayasinghe, S., Sidiq, A., Shahrivar, F., Mahmoodian, M., & Setunge, S. (2025). Approach towards the development of digital twin for structural health monitoring of civil infrastructure: A comprehensive review. *Sensors*, 25(1), 59. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s25010059>
5. Bhatta, S., & Dang, J. (2024). Use of IoT for structural health monitoring of civil engineering structures: A state-of-the-art review. *Urban Lifeline*, 2, Article 31. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44285-024-00031-2>
6. Calderon Hurtado, A., Makki Alamdari, M., Atroshchenko, E., Chang, K. C., & Kim, C. W. (2024). A data-driven methodology for bridge indirect health monitoring using unsupervised computer vision. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing*, 210, 111109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymssp.2024.111109>
7. Zhan, Y., Huang, Y., Fan, Z., Li, B., An, J., Shao, J., & Tian, Y. (2024). Computer vision-based pier settlement displacement measurement of a multispan continuous concrete highway bridge under complex construction environments. *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, 2024, Article 1866665. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/1866665>

8. Kaewnuratchadasorn, C., Wang, J., & Kim, C.-W. (2024). Neural operator for structural simulation and bridge health monitoring. *Computer-Aided Civil and Infrastructure Engineering*, 39(6), 872–890. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mice.13105>
9. Peng, Z., Li, J., Hao, H., & Zhong, Y. (2024). Smart structural health monitoring using computer vision and edge computing. *Engineering Structures*, 319, 118809. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2024.118809>
10. Lu, H., Chandran, B., Wu, W., Ninic, J., Gryllias, K., & Chronopoulos, D. (2024). Damage features for structural health monitoring based on ultrasonic Lamb waves: Evaluation criteria, survey of recent work and outlook. *Measurement*, 232, 114666. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2024.114666>
11. Simon, P., Schneider, R., Baeßler, M., & Morgenthal, G. (2024). A Bayesian probabilistic framework for building models for structural health monitoring of structures subject to environmental variability. *Structural Control and Health Monitoring*, 2024, Article 4204316. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2024/4204316>
12. Fu, S.-J., Xiang, W., Liu, W.-X., Li, X., & Zhang, F.-L. (2024). Structural health monitoring system based on digital twins and real-time data-driven methods. *Structures*, 70, 107739. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.istruc.2024.107739>
13. Wettewa, S., Hou, L., & Zhang, G. (2024). Graph neural networks for building and civil infrastructure operation and maintenance enhancement. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 62, 102868. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2024.102868>
14. Xu, Y., Fan, Y., Bao, Y., & Li, H. (2024). Few-shot learning for structural health diagnosis of civil infrastructure. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 62, 102650. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aei.2024.102650>
15. Armijo, A., & Zamora-Sánchez, D. (2024). Integration of railway bridge structural health monitoring into the Internet of Things with a digital twin: A case study. *Sensors*, 24(7), 2115. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s24072115>
16. Yu, X., Fu, Y., Li, J., Mao, J., Hoang, T., & Wang, H. (2024). Recent advances in wireless sensor networks for structural health monitoring of civil infrastructure. *Journal of Infrastructure Intelligence and Resilience*, 3(1), 100066. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiintel.2023.100066>
17. Sonbul, O. S., & Rashid, M. (2023). Algorithms and techniques for the structural health monitoring of bridges: Systematic literature review. *Sensors*, 23(9), 4230. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s23094230>
18. Gomez-Cabrera, A., & Escamilla-Ambrosio, P. J. (2022). Review of machine-learning techniques applied to structural health monitoring systems for building and bridge structures. *Applied Sciences*, 12(21), 10754. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app122110754>
19. Azimi, M., Eslamlou, A. D., & Pekcan, G. (2020). Data-driven structural health monitoring and damage detection through deep learning: State-of-the-art review. *Sensors*, 20(10), 2778. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s20102778>
20. Malekloo, A., Ozer, E., AlHamaydeh, M., & Girolami, M. (2021). Machine learning and structural health monitoring overview with emerging technology and high-dimensional data source highlights. *Structural Health Monitoring*, 21(4), 1906–1955. <https://doi.org/10.1177/14759217211036880>