

# AI-Enabled Quality Control Systems for Smart Manufacturing

**Dr. Wolfgang Irrek**

Professor, Hochschule Ruhr West, Germany

## **Abstract**

The transformation of conventional manufacturing into smart manufacturing environments has increased the importance of intelligent quality control systems capable of processing large volumes of production data in real time. Artificial intelligence provides advanced capabilities for detecting defects, identifying process abnormalities, predicting quality failures, and optimizing manufacturing parameters. AI-enabled quality control systems integrate machine learning, computer vision, industrial Internet of Things technologies, edge computing, and data analytics to improve the accuracy and responsiveness of quality management activities. This paper examines the application of artificial intelligence in quality control for smart manufacturing environments and evaluates its potential contribution to defect detection, process monitoring, predictive quality management, and production optimization. A conceptual and analytical research methodology is employed to synthesize established research on AI-based inspection, machine vision, intelligent manufacturing, and Industry 4.0 quality systems.

The analysis demonstrates that AI-enabled quality control can improve inspection consistency, accelerate defect identification, support early intervention, and reduce dependence on manual inspection processes. Computer vision systems can identify visual defects, while machine learning models can analyze process variables to predict quality deviations before defective products are produced. Industrial IoT infrastructure further enables continuous collection of production information, allowing quality decisions to be integrated with real-time manufacturing operations. Despite these benefits, implementation is constrained by challenges related to data quality, model interpretability, interoperability, cybersecurity, computational requirements, and workforce skills. The study concludes that AI-enabled quality control systems can provide a strong foundation for proactive and adaptive quality management in smart manufacturing. Successful deployment requires integration of intelligent algorithms with reliable sensing infrastructure, appropriate human oversight, secure industrial networks, and continuous model validation.

**Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence, Quality Control, Smart Manufacturing, Machine Learning, Computer Vision, Industry 4.0, Predictive Quality, Industrial IoT.

## **1. Introduction**

Quality control has traditionally depended on manual inspection, statistical process control, sampling techniques, and predefined manufacturing standards. Although these methods remain important, increasingly complex production environments require faster and more comprehensive approaches to quality management. Smart manufacturing introduces connected machines, sensors, industrial networks, advanced analytics, and automated production systems that generate large quantities of operational

information. Artificial intelligence can transform this information into actionable quality intelligence, enabling manufacturers to move from reactive inspection toward predictive and adaptive quality control.

AI-enabled quality control systems combine multiple technologies to evaluate products and processes. Machine learning algorithms can identify patterns within production data, while computer vision systems can inspect product surfaces, dimensions, assemblies, and other visual characteristics. Sensors can continuously capture information about temperature, pressure, vibration, speed, and other process parameters. When these data sources are integrated, manufacturers can identify relationships between production conditions and quality outcomes that may be difficult to detect using conventional methods.

Computer vision has become particularly important in automated quality inspection. Traditional visual inspection can be affected by worker fatigue, inconsistent judgment, lighting conditions, and high inspection volumes. AI-based vision systems can process images rapidly and apply trained models to identify defects or deviations. Deep learning methods have further expanded these capabilities by allowing systems to learn complex visual patterns from large image datasets. Such systems can support inspection activities across automotive, electronics, pharmaceutical, food-processing, and other manufacturing sectors.

AI also enables quality control to move beyond detecting defective products after production. Predictive quality models can analyze process conditions and estimate the probability of quality deviations before products reach the inspection stage. This capability allows operators to modify production parameters, inspect equipment, or intervene in processes at earlier stages. Consequently, AI can contribute to defect prevention rather than merely defect identification.

The integration of AI into manufacturing quality systems nevertheless creates technical and organizational challenges. AI models depend on representative and high-quality training data, while manufacturing environments can change because of equipment aging, material variation, product customization, or environmental conditions. Models may therefore lose accuracy over time if they are not monitored and updated. In addition, manufacturers must address cybersecurity, system interoperability, computational requirements, explainability, and workforce development. These considerations make AI-enabled quality control an important multidisciplinary research area within smart manufacturing.

## 2. Objectives

### 2.1 To Examine AI Technologies for Manufacturing Quality Control

The first objective is to examine the technologies that support AI-enabled quality control in smart manufacturing environments. The study considers machine learning, deep learning, computer vision, Industrial IoT, edge computing, and predictive analytics.

It evaluates how these technologies collect, process, and interpret production information to identify defects, monitor manufacturing processes, and predict quality deviations. The objective also considers the importance of integrating AI systems with existing production and quality management infrastructure.

### 2.2 To Evaluate the Operational Benefits and Implementation Challenges

The second objective is to evaluate the operational benefits and implementation challenges associated with AI-enabled quality control. The study focuses on inspection accuracy, defect detection, process

consistency, predictive quality management, production efficiency, and waste reduction. It also examines limitations associated with data availability, interoperability, cybersecurity, model explainability, computational resources, and workforce capabilities. This objective provides a balanced assessment of AI-based quality control as a component of smart manufacturing transformation.

### 3. Review of Literature

#### 3.1 Artificial Intelligence in Smart Manufacturing

Artificial intelligence has become an important technology within Industry 4.0 because it allows manufacturing systems to process complex datasets and support intelligent decisions. Manufacturing applications include production optimization, predictive maintenance, process monitoring, scheduling, anomaly detection, and quality management. AI systems can identify relationships between process parameters and manufacturing outcomes, enabling organizations to develop more responsive production strategies.

Smart manufacturing environments provide favorable conditions for industrial AI because connected machines and sensors continuously generate operational information. However, AI effectiveness depends on data quality, computational infrastructure, appropriate algorithms, and organizational readiness. Researchers have emphasized that successful AI implementation requires integration between operational technologies and information systems. This integration allows AI models to obtain relevant data and return useful information to production environments.

#### 3.2 Machine Learning for Predictive Quality Control

Machine learning provides manufacturers with methods for identifying patterns associated with quality outcomes. Supervised learning can be trained using historical examples of acceptable and defective products, allowing models to classify new production outputs. Regression models can estimate continuous quality characteristics, while classification algorithms can identify specific defect categories. Unsupervised learning can also detect unusual production patterns when sufficient labeled defect data are unavailable.

Predictive quality control represents a significant advancement over conventional inspection approaches. Instead of identifying defects after manufacturing, predictive models can analyze process conditions during production and estimate the likelihood of quality deviations. This enables earlier intervention and potentially reduces scrap, rework, and production interruptions. However, predictive models require continuous monitoring because changes in materials, equipment, processes, or environmental conditions may affect model performance.

#### 3.3 Computer Vision and Automated Inspection

Computer vision enables automated quality inspection by analyzing images or video collected from production environments. Cameras and imaging systems can capture product surfaces, dimensions, assembly conditions, and other visual characteristics. AI models can then detect defects, classify product conditions, and identify deviations from expected patterns. Deep learning has significantly improved the ability of vision systems to recognize complex and subtle visual characteristics.

Automated vision inspection can improve consistency because the same computational criteria can be applied across large numbers of products. It can also operate continuously at production speed, reducing dependence on manual inspection. Nevertheless, industrial vision systems must account for

variations in lighting, camera positioning, surface characteristics, product orientation, and environmental conditions. Appropriate imaging infrastructure and representative training datasets are therefore essential for reliable implementation.

### 3.4 Industrial IoT and Real-Time Quality Monitoring

Industrial IoT systems connect manufacturing equipment, sensors, controllers, and information platforms, creating continuous streams of production data. These data can provide information about machine conditions and process variables relevant to product quality. AI algorithms can analyze these streams to identify anomalies, correlate production conditions with quality outcomes, and support real-time decision-making.

The combination of Industrial IoT and AI enables quality management to become more continuous and proactive. Instead of relying primarily on periodic inspections, manufacturers can monitor quality-related indicators throughout production. Edge computing can process critical data near production equipment, reducing latency and minimizing dependence on centralized infrastructure. This architecture is particularly useful for applications requiring immediate quality decisions.

## 4. Methodology

### 4.1 Research Design

This study adopts a conceptual and analytical research design to investigate AI-enabled quality control systems in smart manufacturing environments. The research synthesizes established literature addressing artificial intelligence, machine learning, computer vision, industrial IoT, predictive quality, and Industry 4.0 manufacturing. The study focuses on identifying relationships between technological capabilities and quality-control outcomes. Rather than examining a single industrial facility, the research develops a generalized framework applicable to different smart manufacturing environments.

The research considers quality control as a continuous digital process involving data acquisition, intelligent analysis, decision-making, and corrective action. AI technologies are evaluated according to their ability to identify defects, predict quality deviations, support process optimization, and improve inspection consistency. The methodology also considers implementation requirements such as data availability, interoperability, cybersecurity, model validation, and workforce competencies.

### 4.2 Analytical Framework

The analytical framework categorizes AI-enabled quality control into five major stages: sensing, data processing, intelligent analysis, decision support, and quality intervention. Industrial sensors and cameras provide production information, while edge or cloud infrastructure processes the collected data. Machine learning and computer vision models then identify quality patterns or defects. The resulting information is delivered to operators or automated systems for corrective action.

The framework evaluates the relationship between AI capabilities and key quality outcomes. These include defect detection accuracy, inspection speed, process stability, predictive capability, production waste, and quality consistency. The framework also considers technical constraints that may influence these outcomes. This structured approach allows the study to assess AI-enabled quality control as an integrated component of smart manufacturing rather than as an isolated inspection technology.

## 4.3 Data and Evaluation Dimensions

The study evaluates AI-enabled quality control systems using the following dimensions:

- **Defect Detection:** Ability to identify product and process defects.
- **Prediction:** Ability to anticipate quality deviations before final inspection.
- **Inspection Speed:** Capacity to analyze products at production-line speed.
- **Accuracy:** Reliability of automated quality decisions.
- **Process Monitoring:** Continuous evaluation of production conditions.
- **Adaptability:** Ability to accommodate changing products and processes.
- **Integration:** Compatibility with manufacturing and enterprise systems.
- **Human Oversight:** Capacity for operators to validate and intervene in AI-supported decisions.

## 5. Analysis

### 5.1 AI Technologies for Quality Control

AI-enabled quality control depends on the coordinated use of multiple technologies. Computer vision provides visual information, Industrial IoT devices generate process data, and machine learning models transform these data into quality predictions. Edge computing can support rapid analysis near production equipment, while cloud platforms provide scalable resources for historical analysis and model development. Together, these technologies create a digital quality-control environment capable of monitoring products and processes continuously.

**Table 1: AI Technologies and Their Applications in Smart Manufacturing Quality Control**

| Technology        | Quality-Control Application           | Primary Benefit                 |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Machine Learning  | Defect classification and prediction  | Data-driven quality decisions   |
| Deep Learning     | Complex defect recognition            | High-level pattern detection    |
| Computer Vision   | Automated visual inspection           | Faster inspection               |
| Industrial IoT    | Process and equipment monitoring      | Continuous data collection      |
| Edge Computing    | Real-time quality analysis            | Low-latency response            |
| Cloud Analytics   | Large-scale quality analysis          | Scalable computation            |
| Digital Twins     | Virtual process evaluation            | Predictive process optimization |
| Anomaly Detection | Identification of abnormal conditions | Early quality intervention      |

**Interpretation:** Table 1 shows that AI-enabled quality control is supported by a technological ecosystem rather than a single AI method. Machine learning and deep learning provide analytical intelligence, while computer vision supports automated visual inspection. Industrial IoT provides continuous process information, and edge computing enables rapid local analysis.

Cloud analytics supports large-scale historical processing, while digital twins can evaluate alternative process conditions. The combined application of these technologies strengthens the ability of manufacturers to detect and prevent quality problems.

### 5.2 Quality Performance Outcomes

AI-enabled systems can influence quality performance at several stages of the manufacturing lifecycle. Automated inspection can identify defects during production, while predictive models can identify process conditions associated with future quality problems. AI can also analyze historical quality data to determine recurring causes of defects. These capabilities allow quality management teams to focus not only on identifying defective products but also on understanding and controlling the processes that generate defects.

**Table 2: AI-Enabled Quality Control Capabilities and Expected Outcomes**

| <b>Quality Capability</b> | <b>Expected Operational Outcome</b>       | <b>Manufacturing Value</b> |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Automated Inspection      | Faster defect identification              | Reduced inspection time    |
| Predictive Quality        | Early detection of quality risks          | Reduced defects            |
| Process Anomaly Detection | Identification of abnormal conditions     | Improved process stability |
| AI-Based Classification   | Consistent defect categorization          | Improved quality reporting |
| Real-Time Monitoring      | Continuous process visibility             | Faster intervention        |
| Automated Feedback        | Rapid process correction                  | Reduced production waste   |
| Historical Analytics      | Identification of recurring defect causes | Continuous improvement     |
| Intelligent Optimization  | Improved process parameters               | Higher product consistency |

**Interpretation:** Table 2 demonstrates that AI-enabled quality control can influence both inspection and prevention. Automated inspection improves the speed of defect identification, whereas predictive quality models enable earlier intervention. Anomaly detection helps identify unstable production conditions, while historical analytics can reveal recurring sources of quality problems. Automated feedback can reduce response time by connecting analytical outputs with process controls. Together, these capabilities can support more consistent production and reduce waste caused by defective products.

### 5.3 Integration with Smart Production Systems

The effectiveness of AI-enabled quality control increases when quality intelligence is integrated with production management systems. AI-generated information can be connected to manufacturing execution systems, equipment controllers, maintenance platforms, and enterprise systems. This integration allows quality information to influence production scheduling, machine configuration, maintenance planning, and resource allocation.

Such integration also creates opportunities for closed-loop quality management. A quality model can detect an emerging defect pattern, communicate the result to a production-control system, and initiate an appropriate corrective action. Human operators can remain involved in validating decisions,

particularly when quality deviations have safety or regulatory implications. Therefore, integration should emphasize both automation and appropriate human oversight.

## 6. Discussion

### 6.1 Improvement in Inspection Accuracy and Efficiency

AI-enabled inspection can improve manufacturing quality management by processing large quantities of production information rapidly and consistently. Computer vision systems can inspect products without the fatigue or variability associated with repetitive manual inspection. Machine learning models can further support defect classification and identify complex relationships among production variables. These capabilities can improve the consistency of quality-control operations, particularly in high-volume manufacturing environments.

The efficiency advantages are also significant because AI systems can operate continuously and provide near-real-time quality information. Faster detection enables manufacturers to identify production problems earlier, potentially preventing large quantities of defective products from being produced. However, inspection accuracy depends on representative training data, appropriate model design, stable sensing conditions, and continuous performance evaluation.

### 6.2 Predictive and Preventive Quality Management

A major advantage of AI-enabled quality systems is the transition from reactive inspection toward predictive quality management. Instead of waiting until a finished product fails inspection, AI models can analyze production conditions and identify early indicators of quality deterioration. This enables operators to intervene before defects become widespread. Predictive quality systems can therefore support lower scrap rates, reduced rework, and more stable production processes.

Preventive quality management also allows organizations to analyze the root causes of recurring quality problems. Historical datasets can reveal relationships between equipment conditions, process parameters, materials, and product outcomes. When these relationships are incorporated into decision-support systems, manufacturers can continuously improve production processes. Nevertheless, predictive models must be monitored because changes in production conditions can cause model degradation.

### 6.3 Human Oversight and Organizational Value

AI does not eliminate the importance of human expertise in manufacturing quality management. Experienced quality engineers can interpret contextual information, evaluate unusual production conditions, and determine appropriate responses when AI recommendations are uncertain. Human oversight is particularly important for safety-critical production systems where an incorrect automated decision may have significant consequences.

Organizations must therefore develop workflows in which AI and human expertise complement one another. Operators require training in interpreting AI outputs, recognizing model uncertainty, and responding to system alerts. Managers must also establish governance procedures for model validation, data management, and accountability. A human-centered implementation strategy can improve trust and increase the practical value of AI-enabled quality-control systems.

## 7. Challenges

One of the primary challenges is the availability and quality of manufacturing data. AI systems require sufficiently large, representative, and accurately labeled datasets for training and validation. Defect datasets may be difficult to obtain because certain defects occur infrequently, while historical production records may contain inconsistent labels. Changes in materials, machines, product designs, and operating conditions can also reduce the relevance of older datasets. Manufacturers therefore need structured data-management practices and continuous monitoring of dataset quality.

Integration with existing industrial infrastructure presents another significant challenge. Many manufacturing organizations operate heterogeneous equipment and legacy control systems that were not designed for AI integration. Differences in communication protocols, data formats, and system architectures can restrict information exchange. Developing interoperable platforms may require middleware, gateways, standardized interfaces, and additional investment. Poor integration can prevent AI systems from receiving timely data or returning useful decisions to production environments.

Cybersecurity, explainability, and workforce capability create additional implementation barriers. Connecting quality-control systems to industrial networks can increase cybersecurity exposure, while complex AI models may be difficult for quality engineers to interpret. Employees may also lack the skills required to manage AI-based inspection systems and analytical platforms. Successful implementation therefore requires secure infrastructure, explainable models where appropriate, employee training, and clearly defined responsibilities between automated systems and human decision-makers.

## 8. Future Research

### 8.1 Explainable AI for Quality Decisions

Future research should investigate explainable AI methods specifically designed for manufacturing quality control. Quality engineers often need to understand why an AI system classified a product as defective or predicted a quality failure. Transparent explanations could support troubleshooting, model validation, and operator trust.

Research should examine methods that provide understandable explanations without significantly reducing prediction accuracy or increasing computational requirements. Particular attention should be given to safety-critical and highly regulated manufacturing environments.

### 8.2 Edge AI for Real-Time Inspection

Future studies should explore edge-based artificial intelligence for high-speed quality inspection. Processing AI models close to production equipment can reduce communication delays and enable immediate responses to detected defects.

Edge AI may be particularly valuable where production lines generate continuous image or sensor streams. Research should examine efficient model architectures, hardware acceleration, distributed intelligence, and methods for maintaining model accuracy under limited computational resources.

### 8.3 Autonomous Quality Management

Future research should examine autonomous quality-management systems capable of detecting defects, identifying probable causes, and recommending or initiating corrective actions. Integration among AI

models, digital twins, industrial robotics, and manufacturing control systems could enable closed-loop quality management. Such systems should incorporate human oversight, safety constraints, model validation, and cybersecurity mechanisms. Research should also evaluate the long-term reliability of autonomous quality systems under changing production environments.

## 9. Limitations

This study is conceptual and analytical rather than based on experimental implementation within a specific manufacturing facility. Consequently, the findings represent generalized relationships between AI technologies and quality-control capabilities rather than measured outcomes from a particular production environment. Manufacturing sectors also differ in production complexity, defect characteristics, equipment maturity, and regulatory requirements.

Therefore, the effectiveness of AI-enabled quality systems may vary across organizations. Future empirical research should validate these findings through industrial case studies, controlled experiments, longitudinal datasets, and cross-sector comparative investigations.

## 10. Conclusion

AI-enabled quality control systems represent an important development in smart manufacturing because they combine intelligent analytics, automated inspection, industrial connectivity, and predictive decision-making. Machine learning and computer vision can improve defect detection, while Industrial IoT infrastructure enables continuous monitoring of manufacturing conditions. These technologies allow manufacturers to move beyond conventional inspection models toward more proactive and data-driven quality management. The integration of AI with manufacturing systems can therefore contribute to improved consistency, faster inspection, reduced waste, and more responsive production operations.

The analysis demonstrates that the greatest value of AI-enabled quality control emerges when inspection, prediction, process monitoring, and corrective actions are connected within a unified manufacturing environment. Predictive quality systems can identify emerging problems before defective products are produced, while automated inspection can provide rapid and consistent evaluation of finished or intermediate products. However, successful deployment depends on high-quality data, reliable sensing infrastructure, interoperability, cybersecurity, model validation, and appropriate computational resources.

AI-enabled quality control should ultimately be implemented as a human-centered component of smart manufacturing. Human expertise remains essential for interpreting uncertain situations, validating AI outputs, and managing safety-critical decisions. Future development should emphasize explainable AI, edge intelligence, autonomous quality management, and secure industrial integration. When supported by appropriate governance and workforce development, AI-enabled quality systems can provide manufacturers with a stronger foundation for achieving reliable, adaptive, efficient, and continuously improving production environments.

**References**

1. Ali, M. S., & others. (2024). Advancements in battery thermal management systems for fast charging/discharging applications. *Energy Storage Materials*, 65, 103144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ensm.2023.103144>
2. Barré, A., Deguilhem, B., Grolleau, S., Gérard, M., Suard, F., & Riu, D. (2013). A review on lithium-ion battery ageing mechanisms and estimations for automotive applications. *Journal of Power Sources*, 241, 680–689. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2013.05.040>
3. Bercibar, M., Gandiaga, I., Villarreal, I., Omar, N., Van Mierlo, J., & Van den Bossche, P. (2016). Critical review of state of health estimation methods of Li-ion batteries for real applications. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 56, 572–587. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.11.042>
4. Chen, M., & Rincon-Mora, G. A. (2006). Accurate electrical battery model capable of predicting runtime and I-V performance. *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, 21(2), 504–511. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEC.2006.874229>
5. Hannan, M. A., Lipu, M. S. H., Hussain, A., & Mohamed, A. (2017). A review of lithium-ion battery state of charge estimation and management system in electric vehicle applications. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 78, 834–854. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2017.05.001>
6. Hannan, M. A., Lipu, M. S. H., Hussain, A., Ker, P. J., Mahlia, T. M. I., & Mansor, M. (2021). State-of-the-art and energy management system of lithium-ion batteries in electric vehicle applications. *IEEE Access*, 9, 110,123–110,152.
7. Hwang, F. S., Confrey, T., Reidy, C., Picovici, D., Callaghan, D., Culliton, D., & Nolan, C. (2024). Review of battery thermal management systems in electric vehicles. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 192, 114171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2023.114171>
8. Hussein, A. A. (2024). A review of battery state of charge estimation and management systems: Models and future prospective. *WIREs Energy and Environment*, 13(1), e507. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wene.507>
9. Jaguemont, J., Boulon, L., & Dubé, Y. (2016). A comprehensive review of lithium-ion batteries used in hybrid and electric vehicles at cold temperatures. *Applied Energy*, 164, 99–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.11.034>
10. Kim, T., & Qiao, W. (2011). A hybrid battery model capable of capturing dynamic circuit characteristics and nonlinear capacity effects. *IEEE Transactions on Energy Conversion*, 26(4), 1172–1180. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEC.2011.2167012>
11. Lipu, M. S. H., Hannan, M. A., Hussain, A., Hoque, M. M., Ker, P. J., Saad, A. M., & Ayob, A. (2018). Data-driven state of charge estimation of lithium-ion batteries: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 99, 151–177.
12. Nyamathulla, S., & Dhanamjayulu, C. (2024). A review of battery energy storage systems and advanced battery management system for different applications: Challenges and recommendations. *Journal of Energy Storage*, 86, 111179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.est.2024.111179>
13. Rahmani, A., Dibaj, M., & Akrami, M. (2024). Recent advancements in battery thermal management systems for enhanced performance of Li-ion batteries: A comprehensive review. *Batteries*, 10(8), 265. <https://doi.org/10.3390/batteries10080265>
14. Schmalstieg, J., Käbitz, S., Ecker, M., & Sauer, D. U. (2014). A holistic aging model for Li(NiMnCo)O<sub>2</sub> based lithium-ion batteries. *Journal of Power Sources*, 257, 325–334. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2014.02.012>

15. Severson, K. A., Attia, P. M., Jin, N., Perkins, N., Jiang, B., Yang, Z., Chen, M. H., Aykol, M., Herring, P. K., Fraggedakis, D., Bazant, M. Z., Harris, S. J., Chueh, W. C., & Braatz, R. D. (2019). Data-driven prediction of battery cycle life before capacity degradation. *Nature Energy*, 4, 383–391. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41560-019-0356-8>
16. Su, L., & others. (2024). State-of-health estimation of lithium-ion batteries: A comprehensive literature review from cell to pack levels. *Energy Conversion and Economics*, 5(4), 224–242. <https://doi.org/10.1049/enc2.12125>
17. Tao, J., Wang, S., Cao, W., Takyi-Aninakwa, P., & others. (2024). A comprehensive review of state-of-charge and state-of-health estimation for lithium-ion battery energy storage systems. *Ionics*, 30, 5903–5927. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11581-024-05686-z>
18. Waag, W., Käbitz, S., & Sauer, D. U. (2014). Experimental investigation of the lithium-ion battery impedance characteristic at various conditions and aging states and its influence on the application. *Applied Energy*, 102, 885–895.
19. Wang, Y., Chen, Z., Zhang, Y., & others. (2024). Advances in battery state estimation of battery management system in electric vehicles. *Journal of Power Sources*, 612, 234781. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2024.234781>